GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

Special exception application for campus plan

First stage planned unit development & related zoning map amendment

Case No. 06-11, 06-12

Monday, September 25, 2006

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of cases no. 06-11 and 06-12 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:37 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., CAROL J. MITTEN, Chairperson, presiding. ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN Chair
ANTHONY J. HOOD Vice-Chairperson
GREGORY JEFFRIES Commissioner
MICHAEL TURNBULL Commissioner (AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN Secretary
ESTHER BUSHMAN General Counsel
DONNA HANOUSEK Zoning Specialist

OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL STAFF PRESENT:

ALAN BERGSTEIN

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

TRAVIS PARKER JENNIFER STEINGASSER This transcript constitutes the minutes from the public hearing held on September 25, 2006.

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS	EXAMINATION
ADVISORY NEIGHBORHOOD COMMISSION	
MICHAEL THOMAS, Commissioner	
Cross by Ms. Dwyer	7
PERSONS IN SUPPORT:	
CATHERINE GUCCIONE	39
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	54
GRAHAM LONG	42
CATHERINE LONG	44
JOHN BARNETT	46
Questions by the Commission	47
DONALD D. LINCOLN	56
JAY GU	57
TONI BOYER	59
JAMES MORRIS	61
Questions by the Commission	63
DAVID LEHRMAN	68
Cross by Mr. Hitchcock	83
PHILIP M. ROBINSON, JR.	72
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	86
HAROLD BELMAR	76
OMAR WOODARD	77
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	86
Questions by the Commission	80
SHEILA CROSS-REID	89
Cross by Mr. Hitchcock	106
SHEILA MILLS HARRIS	93
JAN SIMCO	95
JOSH LASKY	98
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	107
Questions by the Commission	100
JEFF MAROOTIAN	109
MESERET BEKELE	112
AMY CONNELLY	115
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	124
LAMAR THORPE	117
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	124
SONIA PARTALO	120

I-N-D-E-X (Continued)

WITNESS (Continued)	EXAMINATION
NICOLE CAPP	126
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	147
EMILY BROOKS	130
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	148
DWAN TAI	133
KEVIN KOZLOWSKI	136
Cross by Ms. Kahlow	149
Questions by the Commission	139
BRAND KROEGER	150
JASMINE GASKINS	153
STELLA PENNEGIOTOU	155
THOMAS CARR	157
Questions by the Commission	159
MAGGIE DESMOND	162
ANNE DIGIULIO	165
CRAIG KUPIEC	168
PAUL BROOKS	171
Questions by the Commission	174
RYAN DAVIS	177
KARA WILLIAMS	179
CHRISTINE CAGGAINO	182
STEPHEN TIMLIN	184
Questions by the Commission	187
EDWARD ABRAMIC	194
ALEXANDER KACHENKO	196
LISA BENTON-SHORT	198
CHRIS HART	201
Questions by the Commission	204

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(6:58 p.m.)

September 25th, 2006.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia for Monday,

My name is Carol Mitten. And joining me this evening are Vice-Chairman Anthony Hood and Commissioners Michael Turnbull and Greg Jeffries. Mr. Parsons isn't with us tonight, but he has said that he will read the record.

And this is a continuation of the hearing in Zoning Commission case number 06-11 and 06-12 for the George Washington University campus plan and PUD.

I'm not going to reread the whole opening statement again, but I would just first remind folks that we are being recorded by the court reporter and also being Webcast live. So we ask you to refrain from making any disruptive noises during the hearing. And I would ask you to turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time for the same reason.

We have a lot of new faces here. So I want to make sure that everybody has been sworn in who plans to testify. So if there is anyone who is planning on testifying this evening who wasn't sworn

1 at our first session, I would ask you to stand up 2 right now, raise your right hand, direct attention to Mrs. Schellin, and she will swear you in. 3 (Whereupon, all potential witnesses were 4 5 duly sworn.) Thank you. 6 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And also 7 just to help us when it comes time to call people forward, there's a witness list on the table by the 8 9 If you would sign up and indicate whether 10 you're a proponent or an opponent, that would help. And also if you're going to testify, we 11 12 have witness cards. I need you to fill out two of these witness cards and then when you come forward to 13 14 testify give those to the court reporter. It helps 15 him keep track of who is speaking. 16 left off Now, where we was 17 cross-examination of the ANC, correct? Okay. have Commissioner Thomas back with 18 us and 19 Hitchcock with him. So, Ms. Dwyer, whenever you are 20 ready. Whereupon, MICHAEL THOMAS, a 21 commissioner of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission, was recalled as a witness and, having been duly sworn, 22 23 was further examined and testified further as follows: For the record, Maureen Dwyer 24 MS. DWYER: 25 with Pillsbury, Winthrop, Pittman, Shaw, another

veteran of the 2000 campus plan. I have a few questions that I hope will help clarify some of the testimony you gave last week, Mr. Thomas.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

MS. DWYER: You stated in your testimony

that the Office of Planning and GW proceeded in early 2005 to draft this new campus plan without discussion by the ANC. But during the Summer of 2005, didn't your ANC cosponsor community meetings with the university and the Office of Planning in order to solicit the views of your community?

THE WITNESS: Thank you for the chance to clarify that. What I was referring to was an early effort to see if a framework for discussion would be set up that was of manageable size and had manageable procedures and might actually --

MS. DWYER: That's not my question. My question is whether there were community meetings that your ANC cosponsored with the university and the Office of Planning during the Summer of 2005.

THE WITNESS: Which is not -- would not be a clarification of my earlier testimony. My earlier testimony dealt with efforts to set up negotiations. What we ended up with was what the university wanted, which was PowerPoint opportunities, which could be

NEAL R. GROSS

Τ.	reduced to exhibits and put into the record.
2	MS. DWYER: I understand that. What I'm
3	trying to clarify is you said that the Office of
4	Planning and GW proceeded to draft this campus plan
5	without discussion with the ANC. So on the point of
6	whether the ANC was consulted, did your ANC sponsor
7	four community meetings in the Summer of 2005: on
8	June 22nd, July 6, July 26, and September 20th?
9	THE WITNESS: With my previous
10	clarification, my statement should be modified to
11	reflect that there were such meetings, yes.
12	MS. DWYER: And were those meetings
13	publicized?
14	THE WITNESS: I believe they were.
15	MS. DWYER: And did your ANC meet with the
16	Office of Planning and the university to discuss the
17	agendas and the materials for those meetings?
18	THE WITNESS: That I can't say of my
19	personal knowledge.
20	MS. DWYER: Do you know if the chair of
21	your ANC attended those meetings?
22	THE WITNESS: I believe he did.
23	MS. DWYER: All right. In addition to
24	those community meetings, did you or members of your
25	ANC use the campus plan Web site to identify issues or

1	get answers to any of your questions?
2	THE WITNESS: We did do that. We also had
3	informal meetings and took various other means to try
4	to inform ourselves.
5	MS. DWYER: In November of 2005, your ANC
6	passed a resolution in opposition to the campus plan
7	and square 54. And that's been filed in the record.
8	Was the university invited to attend that ANC meeting
9	in order to make a presentation before you adopted
LO	that resolution?
L1	THE WITNESS: I was not on the ANC at that
L2	time. And so, again, I cannot say of my personal
L3	knowledge.
L4	MS. DWYER: You did indicate in your
L5	testimony, I believe, that you had a chance to consult
L6	with your ANC since you're presenting your position on
L7	this. So did you have any discussions with the chair
L8	to find out whether the university was invited to make
L9	a presentation at that November meeting?
20	THE WITNESS: No. In spite of extensive
21	discussion with my chair about a lot of these
22	subjects, I haven't. I didn't get a Q&A on that. I'm
23	sorry.
24	MS. DWYER: All right. That was in
25	November of 2005. Can you tell me, when was the

university invited to come to the ANC to make a presentation?

THE WITNESS: I believe -- well, there was a working session, which I believe was in July, at which there was a presentation made. And then there was a presentation at a regularly scheduled ANC meeting in August.

MS. DWYER: So approximately nine months after you adopted a resolution opposing the campus plan, you did invite the university to come to your meeting and make its case as to why you should change your position?

THE WITNESS: Well, first let me -- I don't accept your characterization of the resolution in November. What the resolution in November says, I believe -- and it's before the Commission; so they can determine for themselves -- is that an overhaul of the campus plan shouldn't be undertaken or approved without certain preconditions being met. So it was not going through the proposal of the campus plan.

MS. DWYER: Well, I have the resolution in front of me. And I think it says that no consideration of any development on the campus without a full EIS, no use of a PUD mechanism, and no further development of the campus beyond its remaining 550,000

NEAL R. GROSS

1 square feet. 2 THE WITNESS: I don't have that in front of me, but I accept that you're reading it. 3 All 4 MS. DWYER: right. Also, in 5 preparation for today's hearing, did you go back and look at what the ANC had specifically recommended 6 7 during the last campus plan case to see if any of those issues are addressed in this plan? 8 9 THE WITNESS: I've read quite a bit of 10 that material again. Of course, I had read it at the time in 2000 and 2001. 11 12 Are you aware that in 2000, MS. DWYER: your ANC offered as a condition that the university 13 14 agree not to purchase any property in the residential 15 zones for university use? And are you aware that this 16 is now a condition of this plan? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. Turning to another issue in 18 MS. DWYER: 19 your testimony last week, you spent a lot of time talking about the process, section 210 versus the PUD. 20 21 And you state in your testimony that the Zoning 22 Commission is being asked to tear up the 2000 plan and

this plan continue the same one for one housing

the limits in enforcement of that plan.

condition?

23

24

1	THE WITNESS: Some of the conditions,
2	including that, are brought forward from the 2000
3	plan. That's correct.
4	MS. DWYER: And the same enrollment cap?
5	THE WITNESS: No. We have a disagreement
6	about whether it's the same enrollment cap until the
7	Zoning Commission
8	MS. DWYER: You have a disagreement as
9	THE WITNESS: clarifies what it means.
10	MS. DWYER: We have a disagreement as to
11	methodology, but does it not include the same
12	enrollment cap?
13	THE WITNESS: We will see whether it
14	includes the enrollment cap we thought we got the
15	benefit of in 2000.
16	MS. DWYER: Well, speaking of that
17	enrollment cap, you seemed in your testimony to
18	express surprise at the university's methodology of
19	not counting the Mount Vernon students in the Foggy
20	Bottom cap. But didn't you cross-examine the
21	university on this precise issue in 2000 when you
22	cross-examined Craig Linebow as to how they came up
23	with the Foggy Bottom cap? And didn't he testify that
24	Mount Vernon students were not counted?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure on what you

	14
1	base your characterization that I was surprised. I
2	have learned not to be surprised by the university.
3	But, in any event, yes, I did cross-examine Dr.
4	Linebow. And yes, he did say that it was the
5	university's hope to get another 3,400 or so students
6	by limiting the enumeration to the Foggy Bottom
7	campus.
8	I think I also asked him a question that
9	was to the effect, "Well, you're not conditioning your
10	application here on there being some understanding
11	about whose accounts, accounting method, would be

In any event, the Zoning Commission chose language in the zoning -- I'm sorry. The BZA chose the language. And as we read the language then, as we read the language now, it did not give the carve-outs that the university thought it wanted.

adopted." And I think I got a somewhat conditional

But you knew at that point in MS. DWYER: time that the university was carving out the Mount Vernon students?

THE WITNESS: I knew that that's what they hoped to do.

MS. DWYER: And is there anything in that BZA order that says the university is not permitted to

NEAL R. GROSS

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agreement from him.

carve out Mount Vernon students?

THE WITNESS: We believe there is if you read the literal language fairly. That is, if the head count means you count the heads of all persons being educated, then that would carry with it the inference that it isn't the heads less some list of categories that the university comes up with later.

MS. DWYER: But if the BZA and the Zoning Commission are required to put a condition in their order that is based on the substantial evidence of record and that evidence establishes that the university does not count the Mount Vernon students in the Foggy Bottom cap, if the BZA were to take a different interpretation, wouldn't it have made it very clear in its order?

MR. HITCHCOCK: Objection. Compound question.

MR. THOMAS: And totally confusing. I mean, it seems to me that it was clear what our position was, too, which is that we wanted everybody counted. And so one could make the argument exactly on the flip, which is that we would expect them to make it clear if they don't mean -- head count means head count.

MS. DWYER: Then since the date of that

plan, when the university has provided these biannual reports, where it goes through its methodology, if you believe that the Mount Vernon students should not have been excluded, why would you not have challenged that?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember or I don't maybe know of my own personal knowledge when the first requests were made for formal determinations and audits. I know that I was in a meeting in February of this year where we were promised that we would have an

And, finally, we get an audit or a report from the Zoning Administrator on the first day of these hearings. So --

independent audit very shortly. And we had various

other promises made to us.

MS. DWYER: But at any point prior to that time and the ANC gets biannual reports from the university, at any point prior to that time or at any hearing before the Zoning Commission where it was considering further processing applications, did your ANC come in and say, "Wait a minute. We have a huge issue here because you are excluding Mount Vernon students. And we do not believe that is what the order intended"?

THE WITNESS: I think you're asking me, am

I aware of the details of the records of Zoning

NEAL R. GROSS

2 or present on the Foggy Bottom Association. I don't 3 feel I can answer that. 4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think she is just 5 asking in general has the ANC ever taken the position 6 prior to this proceeding that the calculations that 7 the university has been making over these years of reporting, that they shouldn't be excluding any of the 8 9 students that they're excluding. I am not personally aware of 10 MR. THOMAS: anything before February of this year, although the 11 12 February meeting actually was a response to requests prior to that. But, I mean, some time frame perhaps a 13 few months before February of this year is the first 14 15 that I personally am aware of it. 16 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. 17 MS. DWYER: All right. BY MR. DWYER: 18 19 MS. DWYER: Mr. Thomas, since you haven't 20 been active in the ANC over the last six years, are 21 you aware that since the date of the last campus plan, the university has added 2,800 beds on campus? 22 23 I'm aware of WITNESS: And I'm aware that what that means is that 24 number. 25 there are over 3,700 students now in a phalanx of

Commission cases during years when I wasn't on the ANC

dormitories on the southern border of the campus directly across the street from the few remaining apartment buildings on the south side of that street. So, I mean, yes.

MS. DWYER: In the last campus plan hearing, when you represented the Foggy Bottom Association, did you not ask the university to house those students on campus?

THE WITNESS: We asked for the bulk of the undergraduates to be pulled into campus housing, yes.

MS. DWYER: I want to go back to some of the statements you made last week about the process here. And specifically you felt that the Office of and the Zoning Commission and GW Planning repealing section 210. And I just wanted to ask you, on the contrary, don't we have two applications that before the Commission: one for exception under 210 and one for a PUD?

THE WITNESS: If you're asking me do we different have avocations that have those two different kinds of titles, yes. That's not a very helpful answer, though. What I was arguing there -and I think the members of the Commission are fully aware of this -- is that if the arguments of the university the accepted, then substantive are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

provisions of section 210, the only section that does try to make the policy balance between aggressive growth by universities and residential neighborhoods that are existing and need to be protected, that those protections would not be operative and that that has the effective result of repealing that section.

MS. DWYER: Isn't the requirement under section 210 that the Zoning Commission look at objectionable impacts?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. DWYER: And did you read the Office of Planning report on page 22, where it says that one of the main purposes of the new campus plan is to try to reduce the objectionable impacts and that the impacts of the university do not result from the buildings themselves but from students, staff, traffic, parking, and the proposed new campus plan would allow the university to build larger classes but no increase in student or staff; therefore, no objectionable impact? And are you still saying that the Office of Planning did not address section 210?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I don't think that that passage that you just read in any way comes to grips with the objectionable uses. And let me tell you why. You know, in 2000, the immediate imminent

NEAL R. GROSS

threat that was facing the residential community was the practice that has been accelerating in the '90s of the university to buy hotels and apartment houses and convert them to residential.

MR. DWYER: Madam Chair, I would just submit this was going beyond my question. I think my question has been answered by the witness.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

MR. HITCHCOCK: He has asked for an opportunity to explain his answer.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think that, actually, what you could help me with because we had this conversation, if I could just maybe cut off where you are going and take you where I would like you to go, is -- and Ms. Dwyer articulated it better than I was doing last Thursday -- is what you seemed to be suggesting when we were talking last week was that the PUD process is being substituted for section 210.

And because we have these two cases, you get the benefit. There's the benefit, the controls of both processes. And I think where Ms. Dwyer was going and what I was maybe missing, I wasn't articulating well enough last week is, why do you think that 210 -- 210 is before us, 210 is part of this. So what is it that you think is missing, just our application of it

NEAL R. GROSS

thus far?

MR. THOMAS: Well, part of what we were talking about was, is it something beyond a density question in 3.5 and so forth. Well, I think 3.5 is a very -- I mean, the limitation of 3.5 FAR is a very important provision of 210, but it's a kind of a blunt instrument.

I mean, it is saying it is our judgment that on campuses collocated with residential neighborhoods that you shouldn't have an overall density greater than this and if you do, presumably the reason the provision is there, you are likely to start generating intensity of uses and impacts.

But that's not -- I mean, that's not the soul of 210. Two ten is to tell you to measure objectionable uses, the intensity of the uses, and the impacts.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. And I'm just asking, why do you think that is missing from what is before us, because it's part and parcel of what is before us.

MR. THOMAS: If the Commission accepts that it is limited by what 210 says you can do in allowing a campus to grow within a residential community, but if what you do is you say that impacts

1 can be offset, which is the PUD process --2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: It's also special exception process. 3 MR. THOMAS: Right. 4 Well --5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Let me just take what you're saying, what I think your point might be, which 6 7 is what I just gleaned from what you're saying is that there's an inherent incompatibility between density in 8 9 excess of three and a half FAR and being able to 10 mitigate the adverse impacts described in 210. Is that your position? 11 12 MR. THOMAS: I think that comes reasonably 13 close. I mean, here we're talking about -- I mean, 14 their applications taken in the aggregate are asking for a 43 percent increase in their FAR over and above 15 16 the limit. They say they have 837,000 square feet 17 left under the current law as they describe. they're asking for essentially an even two million 18 19 square feet over and above that. And so I quess part of what I'm saying is 20 21 it seems inconceivable that you can add that much 22 space, that much intensity of use, and not push 23 further over the tipping point. 24 And, by the way, I would also disagree 25 with the Director of Planning that we have stepped

1	back from the tipping point. Remember			
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Now you're going			
3	MR. THOMAS: All right. Okay. But			
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We're going to go			
5	back to Ms. Dwyer. She's going to ask you questions.			
6	MR. THOMAS: All right.			
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you for the			
8	digression.			
9	MS. DWYER: Yes. There are a couple of			
10	questions that came up.			
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: It was helpful to me,			
12	though.			
13	MS. DWYER: Okay. I would like to ask Mr.			
14	Thomas whether he had had a chance to review the			
15	applicant's prehearing submission, which beginning on			
16	page 15 and through page 20 goes through all the			
17	requirements of section 210, and how this application			
18	meets those requirements.			
19	BY MR. DWYER:			
20	MS. DWYER: Have you had a chance to			
21	review that?			
22	THE WITNESS: I have read it.			
23	MS. DWYER: All right. And is it your			
24	testimony that with the same number of students as in			
25	the last campus plan, the same number of faculty and			
j	1			

staff, the same parking requirement, and with an additional 2,800 students now housed on campus, this plan will have an objectionable impact?

THE WITNESS: My testimony is that there are now substantially more students than there were in 1999, which was the base year that we were using in the 2000 plan or in 2000 or in 2001 and that, in addition, there are other intensified uses of a whole myriad of kinds of the campus and that granting this application can do nothing except add to that intensity of use.

MS. DWYER: All right. A couple of more questions under section 210. I think you stated in your testimony that basically the university can do everything it wants under section 210 with the exception of the FAR limit without having to do a PUD. And is it your testimony that the university could go to a height of 110 feet along 22nd Street under just section 210?

THE WITNESS: I haven't looked at that specific question, but if we are under section 210, you would be spreading around 800,000 square feet, not 2.8 million square feet. And so it might not arise.

MS. DWYER: But specifically do you understand the height limitations, the 1910 Height

NEAL R. GROSS

And are you saying that the university would be 2 able to go up to 110 feet under residential zoning on 3 22nd Street? 4 THE WITNESS: I have no opinion on that, I 5 quess. 6 MS. DWYER: All right. And do 7 that the height on 22nd Street understand trade-off for preserving the historic character of the 8 9 campus and two and three-story structures throughout 10 the balance of the campus? THE WITNESS: I understand that it is a 11 12 trade-off that OP has required that you make that number of other 13 follows on taking а historic structures, destroying them, and building ten-story 14 15 buildings where they were. 16 MS. DWYER: All right. I want to turn 17 right now. You made a couple of statements about noise neighborhood, which you attributed 18 in your 19 And I think you said you had a students. 20 conversations with people who live at 24th and M 21 Street about noise at 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning. you have any proof that these were GW students? 22 I don't think that I said 23 THE WITNESS: what you just attributed to me. I said I talked to 24 25 the manager of the new apartment building at 2400 M,

1

Act?

1	and he said he was getting a majority of students and				
2	was, accordingly, making sure that his leases and				
3	regulations were set up for that.				
4	I said that there were also some incidents				
5	of noise on 25th that had been reported to me and some				
6	incidents within the new condo on 25th, I believe.				
7	MS. DWYER: I think what you said is, "I				
8	have had conversations with the people who live across				
9	the street talking about a lot of noise in the street				
10	at 2:00 and 3:00 o'clock in the morning."				
11	And my question to you is, do you know				
12	whether that noise is from GW students or could it be				
13	from the four hotels that happen to be in your				
14	neighborhood? Do you not have a Westin Park, Hyatt,				
15	Fairmont, and Ritz-Carlton Hotel in your neighborhood?				
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.				
17	MS. DWYER: And is it possible the noise				
18	could be attributed to those activities?				
19	THE WITNESS: On any particular occasion,				
20	it certainly could.				
21	MS. DWYER: All right. You also				
22	specifically mentioned the Atlas Condominiums as				
23	having 15 percent students, I think based on a				
24	conversation you said you had with the owner of that				
25	building. Would it surprise you to know that of the				

1	141 units, only 11 are rented by undergraduates?			
2	THE WITNESS: I will accept your numbers.			
3	I mean, I had the one conversation.			
4	MS. DWYER: You also mentioned 2400 M			
5	Street as having a large percentage of GW undergrads.			
6	Would it surprise you to know that of the 396 units,			
7	only 28 are occupied by undergraduates?			
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, it would.			
9	MS. DWYER: And are you aware that 3 other			
LO	buildings in your neighborhood, 2300 M Street, 1221			
11	24th Street, and 2401 M Street, have no students in			
12	those buildings?			
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know.			
14	MS. DWYER: Are you aware that of all of			
15	these properties, the university has only received one			
16	complaint regarding noise from a student and that went			
17	through the hotline and the normal disciplinary			
18	process?			
19	THE WITNESS: Well, accepting that you are			
20	now testifying, instead of me, I don't know what quite			
21	to make of that question.			
22	MS. DWYER: Have you ever called the			
23	university's hotline to report an incident?			
24	THE WITNESS: No. I have called the			
25	police.			

1	MS. DWYER: Have you encouraged any of
2	your neighbors or your ANC members to use the hotline
3	and follow the process that was put in place in the
4	last campus plan?
5	THE WITNESS: You're asking whether I
6	encouraged my who is it I was you were asking
7	about my encouraging.
8	MS. DWYER: You serve on the ANC.
9	THE WITNESS: Right.
LO	MS. DWYER: So I'm asking at any of the
L1	ANC meetings if issues come up or in your
L2	neighborhood, do you encourage your neighbors, your
L3	ANC members, to use the university's hotline?
L4	THE WITNESS: I have not personally done
L5	that at an ANC meeting.
L6	MS. DWYER: All right. I just have one
L7	final line of questioning. You testified last
L8	Thursday that the ANC has not participate in the
L9	advisory committee. And you went on to say that it was
20	a decision made before you joined the ANC, which I
21	believe your term started in February of 2006. Is
22	that correct?
23	THE WITNESS: That's right.
24	MS. DWYER: Didn't the university ask the
25	ANC to establish the advisory committee in April of

1	\cap	\sim		
2	U	U	О	:

THE WITNESS: It may have been in April of 2006 that Mr. Katz wrote a letter to Chairman Macone, yes.

MS. DWYER: So this was after you took over as a member of the ANC?

THE WITNESS: That's right. And I think that Chairman Macone wrote a letter back saying that we're not opposed to doing that but that we would need to reach some understandings about how we would go forward. And we also said we would appreciate an understanding -- I'm not quoting now but that we asked that there not be use of this issue as simply a PR or litigation issue.

MS. DWYER: I believe the response, which I will file for the record, did not go quite that far but basically said that the ANC was not interested in participating on the advisory committee while there were issues being addressed by the Zoning Administrator.

But are you aware that every year the university has requested that the ANC appoint a member of the advisory committee and that every year the ANC has declined?

THE WITNESS: I'm not personally aware of

1 that, no. 2 MS. DWYER: Yet, you testified that you 3 Ι think, that an advisory committee 4 merit. Is that correct? 5 A properly constituted THEWITNESS: advisory committee I think could be of value. 6 7 MS. DWYER: And I think you also testified that on occasion you have served as a mediator. Is 8 9 that correct? THE WITNESS: I have done that. 10 MS. DWYER: And doesn't mediation require 11 12 that the parties at least come together for a meeting and sit down at the table to talk to each other? 13 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, it requires that. 14 It also -- but it also has other requirements. 15 16 one of the requirements is that if you have a sponsor 17 of the process, such as was the case here, which was OP, and if you reach agreements with them trying to 18 19 frame the discussions so that they might lead to negotiations and if then the other party, in this case 20 21 the university, recasts those so that it seems --22 We're not talking about the MS. DWYER: 23 advisory committee here. You're not discussing the advisory committee. You're discussing a separate 24

Is that correct?

process.

1 MR. HITCHCOCK: Не is answering the 2 Can he be allowed to finish his answer? Не question. 3 is answering the question. 4 MS. DWYER: I am just clarifying that he 5 is talking not about the advisory committee but about 6 a facilitation process. 7 BY MR. DWYER: MS. DWYER: Is that correct, Mr. Thomas? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, if you want to make 10 that distinction. I mean, to me getting together to talk, understandings that have a good chance of making 11 12 those talks fruitful, I don't care whether you call it an advisory committee or mediation or something else 13 14 15 MS. DWYER: But the two are very 16 I mean, the advisory committee was put in different. 17 place by the BZA for a quarterly meeting process for everybody in the neighborhood to 18 come together. 19 Facilitation, which the Office of Planning tried to do at the beginning of this process, is a very different 20 21 I'm talking about the advisory committee. process. All 22 THE WITNESS: right. And your question is? 23 My question is that if the ANC 24 MS. DWYER: 25 comes to these hearings and wants its testimony and opinion to be given great weight, don't you think that also carries with it the responsibility to sit down with an applicant, particularly when there is a condition in a campus plan, and engage in that constructive process?

THE WITNESS: I think there is such an obligation on both sides. And I think what we are dancing around here is the effort to frame a question so that it seems like a responsibility for that failing is all on the ANC or the community.

MS. DWYER: You talked a lot about Georgetown in your testimony. Are you aware that Georgetown University has a quarterly meeting process that includes 14 community organizations that come together on a quarterly basis to discuss campus plan issues?

THE WITNESS: I have been -- not in those specifics. I have been aware that Georgetown and its surrounding communities have worked pretty hard at talking.

DWYER: And do you know at MS. those they discuss meetings issues such as noise from students off campus, under-age drinking, parking enforcement? Are those the kinds of issues that you think would be of value to a community to sit

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 down with the university and discuss on a quarterly 2 basis? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, those and compliance and plans of the university for further development. 4 5 And there could be a range of things that might be 6 useful to talk about. 7 MS. DWYER: And are you aware that every plan of the District of Columbia has 8 9 quarterly meeting requirement; that is, that precisely in response to Mr. Jeffries' question about 10 these town-gown issues? That is the mechanism that 11 12 was put in place, including the Mount Vernon campus. 13 Are you aware that GW does meet on a 14 regular basis with the residents surrounding its Mount 15 Vernon campus? 16 THE WITNESS: No. And, by the way, again, 17 I would have to go back and read the condition of the current plan to get the specific language, but I don't 18 19 think you can fairly describe it as a quarterly 20 meeting requirement. 21 The parties were encouraged to try to put That would have been 22 together an advisory committee. 23 good had it been possible to do. It didn't happen. 24 MS. DWYER: Ιf this plan is approved, 25 would your ANC agree to join the advisory committee?

THE WITNESS: Ι am here with certain authority. My authority -- I didn't ask ahead of time If you want my personal answer, I on that question. would urge that we attempt to reengage the university if we can reach agreement on a framework that is not a and pony show but is actual substantive discussion. DWYER: One final question. MS. You

MS. DWYER: One final question. You testified that George Washington University should develop a satellite campus in some other area of the city. And I just wanted to ask you whether the students and faculty at that campus would count against the Foggy Bottom cap.

THE WITNESS: It would depend upon whether they also took classes in Foggy Bottom or had other rights which brought them to Foggy Bottom and, therefore, they increased the impacts.

I mean, the Mount Vernon students, there's a bus service that goes 24/7 bringing them to the Foggy Bottom campus. And so, for example, not to count the Mount Vernon students is willful blindness.

MS. DWYER: If the university were to open a satellite campus and the students had the fight to visit the Foggy Bottom campus, use, let's say, the health and wellness center, your statement is that

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

they would be counted within the Foggy Bottom campus 2 cap? I think the effort has to be 3 THE WITNESS: 4 to measure all of the human activity that adds to the 5 intensity of use within the campus because that is 6 what generates the impact outside the campus. 7 MS. DWYER: think Do you that position would be a disincentive for a university to 8 9 establish a satellite campus if every time it did that 10 it had to either prohibit the students from visiting 11 its main campus or count them within its cap? 12 WITNESS: I think that if interested in a really sincere effort to have a 13 14 satellite campus, that I would certainly in good faith 15 try to address the issues so that we didn't discourage 16 students from having access to facilities, but 17 you're asking whether under the current shouldn't count somebody who does have impacts on the 18 19 GW campus, given that we were at a tipping point six 20 years ago, then I would say we've got a problem. 21 MS. And I would assume DWYER: 22 whatever rationale or policy you apply this satellite campus should also apply to Mount Vernon? 23 I think the language should 24 THE WITNESS: 25 be such as to meaningfully measure the human traffic

1 that causes impacts in Foggy Bottom. And so yes, it 2 ought to be consistent. 3 MS. DWYER: And one of the impacts in 4 Foggy Bottom that was of most concern the last time, I 5 think continues to be, is where those students are 6 housed. So would housing be one of the significant 7 impacts? THE WITNESS: Certainly movement to and 8 9 from residential halls is -- can be a significant 10 impact. In 2000, it was a critical issue because near 11 office campus buildings were being converted 12 dormitories. MR. DWYER: All right. Thank you. 13 That concludes all my questions. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. 16 Ms. Kahlow, did you have cross-examination 17 questions for the ANC? MS. KAHLOW: I do not, but thank you for 18 19 asking me. 20 MR. HITCHCOCK: Madam Chair, since I'm 21 here as a dual role, I was wondering. There was one 22 question that Commissioner Thomas started to answer, 23 and that was on the question of, have things improved from the tipping point of six years ago? That's one 24 25 question I would like to ask perhaps if the Commission -

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I am not sure that that is an appropriate dual role for you to play because it's really redirect to Mr. Thomas.

MR. HITCHCOCK: Okay. I would pose it. I wasn't sure if the Commission would care to inquire on its own. It's a question I would ask if I were not here in a dual role.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Why don't we have an additional submission from the ANC on that subject if you would like to make an additional submission? And so we can carry on with our hearing tonight. All right?

MR. HITCHCOCK: Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Now we are ready to move to organizations and persons in support. And I have my list. So I will call panels forward. And I'll call them forward in groups of four if I'm successful and then just ask you to take a seat at the table and then speak one at a time. Don't forget to drop off your witness cards on the way up. And then we'll ask questions of the panels after all

NEAL R. GROSS

four have spoken.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dayle Barnhard, Monty. Oh, that's -- he's in the wrong case.

(Laughter.)

Okay. Graham Long, CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Catherine. Ιt looks like it's G-u-e-c-i-c-h-e, something like that, John Barnett, Catherine Long. Okay. Just for everybody else, the cards go to the reporter. Written testimony goes court to Schellin. And then she will pass it out, just for the next round. Okay. Whereupon, GRAHAM LONG, CATHERINE GUCCIONE, JOHN BARNETT, and CATHERINE LONG were called as persons in support and, having been previously duly sworn, were examined and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Since I don't know who anyone is, we will just start with you. And we'll go down the table. So turn on the microphone and identify yourself for the record. And you will have three minutes.

MS. GUCCIONE: Catherine Guccione. Good evening, members of the community, university, and esteemed members of the Commission. My name is Catherine Guccione. And I'm a proud alumnus of the George Washington University, a member of the Friends

NEAL R. GROSS

community organization, and a commuter to and from the Foggy Bottom area as an employee of a company located in the Watergate Building.

I'm here tonight to express my interest in and excitement over the university's campus plan from the perspective of a former student as well as a commuter in the area.

I graduated from GW with a Bachelor's in 2005 and a Master's this past year, in 2006, and during my time was quite active within university activities as the Director of Programming for the Residence Hall Association for 2 years and a Director of Special Projects for the Student Association when it was led by Chris Hart in 2003 and 2004. In both of these capacities, Ι was able to interact with university administrators members and of the community.

junior year, Ι joined the In mу Neighborhood of Friends organization, was active planning the first annual block party, as well attending monthly meetings. My time with the Friends the the organization has taught me value of perspectives held by the community members and the necessity of compromise for the benefit of the Foggy Bottom neighborhood and the university.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The plan set forth by the university embodying the "grow up, not out" approach offers the best of all possible options for the community and the university without sacrificing the most important needs for both parties.

When I graduated from GW, the university promised me a firm commitment to maintain the prestige and excellence of the institution which granted me two degrees. So, as a former student, it is with pride that I watch the university continue to grow as a leading academic institution, attracting only the most qualified faculty and students.

As a commuter to the neighborhood each day and as a member of Friends, it is with excitement that I watch the commitment to enhance the neighborhood as a vibrant retail center, which pledges to embrace and preserve its historic roots.

The campus plan under review tonight demonstrates an enormous effort on behalf of the university to consider the preferences of community members while ensuring the flexibility for growth that will ensure the university's position as a world-class academic institution.

By developing plans to limit student traffic through residential areas and maintain as well

NEAL R. GROSS

1 as improve green space around campus, such as Kogan 2 Plaza and the university yard, GW has heeded 3 community's need to retain an attractive 4 environment and aesthetic. 5 Similarly, by designing a retail corridor 6 Eye Street, the university is 7 augment economic development throughout Foggy Bottom and offer the community additional amenities that are 8 9 currently not available at the university's center. Since 2001, when I first became a student 10 11 GW, student housing was a major concern for 12 students, parents, administrators, and the community. 13 With the proposed campus plan, however, GW will be able to accommodate all of its housing needs without 14 15 the purchase of additional off-campus housing 16 facilities. 17 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need your closing thought because you're about out of time. 18 19 MS. GUCCIONE: With this plan, the 20 university will benefit being able make to 21 improvements necessary to remain topnotch and allow the community the growth necessary. So I support this 22 23 plan. 24 Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:

25

And we

Thank you.

will read the rest of your written testimony. But I am going to ask people to stick to their time limits because we have a lot of people who would like to testify, and we want to give everybody a chance.

Sir?

MR. LONG: Hi. My name is Graham Long.

And I am a former ANC commissioner for 2A and also a

GW alumnus. I studied urban planning and design at

school and was recently hired to work for the Nassau

County Planning Commission in New York State, where I

have since moved since living here.

I feel that -- I was on the ANC when we first started doing work with the university about the new campus plan, which I think has improved a lot from the previous one, addresses the main concerns of smart growth and community-based development that are things that I practice as well and have learned as a student from the university.

The things I really like about this plan are, in particular, since the previous one is the preservation of open space, the proposed historic district plan and saving historic structures, and the confinement of the university development within the campus boundaries that I think this addresses.

In addition, the transit-oriented and

NEAL R. GROSS

mixed-use proposed development for square 54, the reconcentration of student housing with the campus boundaries, as well as the open and inclusive process of formulating this campus plan are also things that are highly regarded and admirable in the field of thoughtful and common sense urban planning, quite frankly.

Basically while I think the most important aspect of this plan is going to be its implementation should follow the smart growth that it community-based planning initiatives that they have set forth finally in this campus plan and this is a step in the right direction, basically the concerns I found to be very real when I was on the ANC and when I was a student there seemed to be the same ones today, the outgrowing development, the off campus and the student residents, destruction of the neighborhood atmosphere and community and ambiance. And this is exactly what this campus plan is dealing with.

So if we want to argue specific issues from here on out, I think it's very important to do that, but this is a good starting point. And this really needs to be approved from my perspective in order to move on in this discussion and debate, et al.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 So thank you very much. 2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr. Long. 3 Ma'am? 4 MS. LONG: My name is Catherine Long. 5 am a long-time resident of Watergate East. I support 6 the George Washington University Foggy Bottom campus 7 plan 2006-2025. Why? Reviewing history, I recall a photograph 8 9 of pre-university Foggy Bottom in 1912. Pictured were 10 Fury Stables, coal yards, the Washington Gas Light 11 Company, and a famous saloon. Today we have 12 beautiful international university and hospital in the 13 nation's capital. Also picture vibrant Dupont Circle with Washington Circle now and how it will be after 14 15 our plan and square 54. 16 Progress requires change. Women 17 first admitted to the university in 1988. The Gelman Library relegated its card catalog to its archives in 18 19 1900. And in 1946, the Lisner Auditorium, 1946, Lisner 20 Auditorium, was still segregated. So on and on we go 21 but never without controversy. All the planning 22 sessions -- and there have been many -- have been open 23 for education and comment. And there will be more. Ι share 24 want to few personal

I am very indebted to GW Friends for

observations.

introducing me to Lisner programs, including those marvelous concert operas, media events, health lectures and screening, senior membership advantages, water aerobics, computer lessons, and excellent student productions.

The September calendar listed everything from mayoral candidates to tango festivals, something for everyone. So unless we stop, women stop, having babies, educational institutions will continue to grow, whether in or out, up or down. Knowledgeable experts responsible for the 20-year plan will in good faith continue to improve George Washington University for its students, faculty, and Foggy Bottom residents.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Ms. Long.

You must be Mr. Barnett.

MR. BARNETT: I am John Barnett. I live at Potomac Plaza, a block from what I have come to call the imaginal line. When I first lived in Foggy Bottom from 1966 until 1977, all seemed well in the area as far as I can remember. I don't recall any serious town-gown issues.

In 1999, I moved back here to my old address into a war of words between the residents' associations, on one side, and the university, on the other. For six or seven years now, the war of words

NEAL R. GROSS

has continued. And some progress has made, primarily, believe, in the matter of increased members numbers of units, on the campus housing. Goals have not been entirely met schedule, but neither have they been ignored. And the war of words continues.

Now comes the university with a grand plan aimed at building up and not out, which makes a lot of sense to me. School or campus boundaries will be maintained. Attractive landscaping has been offered. And even greater access to university facilities will be and are now being offered to local residents.

Construction of student housing will continue until set goals are met, all of this without the need to turn square 54 into a dormitory. That in my opinion would represent a horrible waste of extraordinarily valuable revenue-producing property.

It's time for the war of words to cease. citizens' It's time for the association, the regulatory bodies, and university representatives to sit down and, with reasonable speed, using the good old give and take method, agree to allow the university to proceed with its work. war of words continues and with no end in sight.

Forget about the past and some of the

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

folks associated with it. Agree on a plan. And enjoy 2 the future. CHAIRPERSON 3 MITTEN: Thank you, Mr. 4 Barnett. 5 MR. BARNETT: You're welcome. I would like to ask 6 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: 7 of the two folks, Ms. Long and Mr. Barnett, who are residents, what has your experience been with some of 8 9 the adverse impacts that we have heard described in terms of noise and student behavior and traffic? 10 Ιf you could share your personal view on that with us? 11 12 MS. LONG: Well, Ι think that university and Friends has done a very good job of 13 14 listening to those complaints. The hotline has been 15 very, very effective. 16 don't have occasion to be walking 17 through the campus at all hours of the day and night. So I don't -- I personally have never heard, for 18 19 example, of any objections whatsoever to the dormitory 20 that was across from Waterqate West. Although it was 21 very controversial at the time, those students were just absolutely wonderful and added to our community. 22 23 So I think that we have done a very good job of listening to and trying to improve. 24 We are 25 trying to improve the campus, not destroy it.

Τ	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
2	Mr. Barnett, did you have any
3	observations?
4	MR. BARNETT: I agree. My big
5	disappointment was at the number of people off campus
6	housing-wise. And that is being worked on, and I am
7	very pleased with that.
8	As far as the noise is concerned, I was a
9	kid once. And it was awful.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You mean for everyone
12	else?
13	MR. BARNETT: Yes. My brother would tell
14	you it still is. But, anyway, as long as I have a
15	fairly sound-tight apartment, I have no problem
16	whatever with that.
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you.
18	Any questions from the Commission? Mr. Hood?
19	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Madam
20	Chair.
21	Former Commissioner Long, what year were
22	you on the ANC, ANC 2A?
23	MR. LONG: That would be until the
24	beginning of 2004, not the entirety of it, but I
25	believe it was eight or nine months.

1	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You served for
2	eight or nine months?
3	MR. LONG: Right. I served out a previous
4	term that was vacant.
5	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. During that
6	time, were there any discussions about this advisory
7	committee from an ANC standpoint? And what is your
8	perspective on how the ANC dealt with this advisory
9	MR. LONG: About creating the advisory
10	committee or
11	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: In general.
12	MR. LONG: In general?
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I mean
14	MR. LONG: I don't remember that much
15	discussion about creating the advisory committee. I
16	remember there was a lot of talk about the potential
17	for some kind of committee to come up to mitigate the
18	problems and the differences here, but it hadn't
19	gotten that specific at that point, at least not to
20	the best of my knowledge.
21	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Did you have any
22	input? Were you encouraging the ANC Commission to get
23	on board, "Let's do this," "Let's go to the table"?
24	MR. LONG: Absolutely. I mean, the one
25	the reason I decided to fill out the remainder of that

1	terms was almost solely for that reason, to foster
2	some discussion between the university and the
3	community for exactly that kind of thing, creating an
4	advisory committee, creating the things like the
5	hotline and ways to mitigate the problems and the
6	concerns that residents in the neighborhood have and
7	that, quite frankly, the students at the university
8	have because while I was there, I didn't necessarily
9	agree with everything that the university wanted to
10	do, especially not at the time.
11	So I really wanted it to work both ways.
12	So I was encouraging people to listen to each other
13	and try to mitigate the problems on both sides.
14	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
15	MR. LONG: But, like I said, it hadn't
16	gotten that specific yet.
17	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
18	Thank you, Madam Chair.
19	MR. LONG: You're welcome.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else?
21	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: A quick question
22	for Mr. Barnett. So you moved to the Foggy Bottom
23	area in 1966?
24	MR. BARNETT: Right.
25	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. So, I mean,

1	I'm just trying to get a sense of expectations. I
2	mean, moving into an area that's adjacent to a
3	university, what were your expectations of how life
4	would be?
5	MR. BARNETT: At that time I never gave it
6	a thought. I had come down here from New York and had
7	gotten to know Washington a year or two before 1966
8	through some business I had down here. I just wanted
9	to live in the place.
10	And I don't remember how I happened to
11	pick the Foggy Bottom area, but I think it probably
12	was for convenience. And it's a nice place to look at
13	it. And it was near Georgetown and one thing and
14	another. And I never really gave the university a
15	thought or anything.
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Thank you.
17	MR. BARNETT: You're welcome.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else?
19	Commissioner Turnbull?
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just have a
21	couple of questions for Ms. Long. You seem to have
22	taken advantage of a lot of the programs that the
23	university has to offer. Do a lot of your neighbors
24	and friends do the same, do you feel? Are there quite
25	a few that do what you're doing or

1	MS. LONG: Unfortunately, for me, I didn't
2	get involved in any of this until finally someone
3	persuaded me I should belong to Friends. And since
4	then I have had all these wonderful experiences which
5	I am proud to broadcast to anyone who will listen to
6	me. And I put up notices and put the schedule on our
7	desks and things like that.
8	But I don't know. We're right next to the
9	Kennedy Center. So all of these other places have a
10	little competition. But I know I always see my
11	neighbors when I go to these things.
12	And I am particularly grateful for this
13	new course that I am able to take through the
14	university for free in computer science because I
15	certainly need help in that.
16	(Laughter.)
17	MS. LONG: But, all in all, I'm just so
18	proud to live near even when I didn't do all these
19	things, I loved being in a university setting and am
20	very proud of that. And I think we should all be
21	proud of that.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hood?
24	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Guccione, you
25	mentioned in your testimony that you commute to the

1	neighborhood. Not making it personal, but how do you
2	commute?
3	MS. GUCCIONE: Via Metro.
4	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Metro. Good
5	answer.
6	(Laughter.)
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Dwyer, any
8	cross-examination?
9	MS. DWYER: I have none. Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?
11	MR. HITCHCOCK: I have no questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Kahlow?
13	MS. KAHLOW: I only have questions for the
14	lady whose name is Catherine G. And I didn't get the
15	last name.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Guccione.
17	MS. KAHLOW: Thank you, Ms. Guccione.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	MS. KAHLOW: Following up on Mr. Hood's
20	question because that is ours about impact, do you
21	ever drive to the campus?
22	MS. GUCCIONE: Not since my car died a
23	year and a half ago.
24	(Laughter.)
25	MS. KAHLOW: In the meetings you go do, do

1	your colleagues go? Do your colleagues drive? Does
2	anyone else drive that you know of?
3	MS. GUCCIONE: The meetings? The Friends
4	meetings or whom I work with? My colleagues at work?
5	Many of them live out
6	MS. KAHLOW: The reason why you come to
7	campus, the events for which you
8	MS. GUCCIONE: Many of my colleagues at
9	the organization I work for live outside the District.
10	And they do drive to the District.
11	MS. KAHLOW: And the question is, do you
12	know where they park?
13	MS. GUCCIONE: They park at the Watergate
14	parking building, the parking at the Watergate
15	Building.
16	MS. KAHLOW: Very good. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thanks,
18	everybody.
19	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
20	excused.)
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The next panel,
22	Donald Lincoln; Jay Gu, G-u; Toni Boyer; James Morris.
23	Whereupon, DONALD D. LINCOLN, JAY GU, TONI BOYER,
24	and JAMES MORRIS were called as
25	persons in support and, having been previously duly
	l i

1 sworn, were examined and testified as follows: 2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Lincoln, why 3 don't you go ahead? 4 MR. LINCOLN: Good evening. 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need you to turn the mike on first. There you go. 6 7 MR. LINCOLN: Okay. Good evening. My name is Donald D. Lincoln. I reside at 2500 Virginia 8 9 Avenue, Northwest in Foggy Bottom. I have lived at this Watergate East address for approximately seven 10 11 years. 12 I am here this evening to voice my support for the George Washington University Foggy Bottom 13 campus plan for 2006 through 2025. I have reviewed 14 15 this proposed plan and have attended a number 16 briefings on it, the last one held at Saint Stephen 17 Martyr Church on August 2nd of this year. That last briefing turned out 18 to 19 somewhat contentious, more due to alleged failures of the university to comply in good faith with 20 21 existing plan than with the details of the proposed The seriousness of the university's commitment 22 plan. 23 to the proposed plan came under attack. believe that this well-thought-out 24 25 campus plan has great merit. And based on my personal

involvement with the George Washington University's Office of District of Columbia and Foggy Bottom-West End Affairs, I have no reason to doubt that if approved, the university will abide by it. Not to do so would result in a public relations disaster.

The plan's key features, such as building upward at the central core of the campus, rather than outward, returning freshmen and undergraduates from neighboring apartment buildings to on-campus housing, promoting retail activity on Eye Street, Northwest, and emphasizing historic preservation, should all beneficial to both the George Washington prove University and the Foggy Bottom and West End communities.

I strongly recommend your approval of this proposed campus plan and thank you for the opportunity to speak this evening.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Mr. Gu?

MR. GU: Thank you, zoning commissioners, for the opportunity to testify on behalf of the GWU 20-year campus plan. My name is Jay Gu. And I own the market at the Columbia Plaza. And I live in Columbia Plaza in Foggy Bottom. I have lived in Foggy Bottom for two years.

GW has reached out to the community for

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

over two years concerning this campus plan. I attended the first community meeting for the plan and was asked to offer suggestions on what the new campus plan should look like.

first Ι Since the meeting, attended other community meetings with many other residents of Foggy Bottom. The residents, including myself, offer many commendations to GW for new campus Most importantly, we wanted retail space in the building site of old hospital, known as square 54. want a grocery store. And we want to keep the old hospital site on the city's tax roll. In addition, we want more student housing on the campus pawprint so that the university will build more on its campus and not in the community.

I like the unvaried's concept of the building up and not out. I think that the Zoning Commission should give the university more density on the campus so that it does not need to expand into the Foggy Bottom.

However, as a business owner in Foggy Bottom, I like the GW students, faculty, and staff of GWU. They are good and responsible people. The university has been working with -- working very hard in the community to solve the problems between the

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

university and its neighbors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have attended many Friends meetings to solve the problem between the university and the community. Unfortunately, a few Foggy Bottom residents do not want to talk with the university, but the university continues to reach out to all the residents of Foggy Bottom, which include the business owners; churches; students; and senior citizens, such as those in St. Mary's Court.

As a resident of the community, I believe this new campus plan is a good plan. I hope you, too, will support the plan. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you very much.

Ms. Boyer? Would you pull that mike over a little bit more for you? There you go.

MS. BOYER: My name is Toni Boyer. I have lived in Foggy Bottom for 14 years, in the District a total of 17.

I support the campus plan and ask you to do the same. While there are many parts of this plan, the ones that mean the most to me are, one, parking. GW commitment has made a to put much of its above-ground parking underground. Further, committed to spreading its underground parking around campus, instead of placing it all in one spot. This

NEAL R. GROSS

1 will help to prevent traffic jams that would be caused 2 by all the cars trying to get to one location. 3 Two, retail. This area does not have 4 enough retail. The development of a retail strip along Eye Street, including the old GW Hospital site, will 5 be a very welcome addition to this neighborhood. 6 7 cannot emphasize this enough. Everyone I talk to is excited about having additional neighborhood retails 8 9 options nearby. And, three, housing. 10 GW has committed to 11 putting more student housing on its campus. This will 12 thing for both the students and the good neighbors. 13 GW is an important part of this community. 14 15 I encourage you to support the campus plan. 16 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Ms. Boyer. 17 Commissioner Morris? MR. MORRIS: Good evening. 18 My name is 19 Morris. I have lived in Foggy Bottom for 15 20 the intersection of Η Street years at and 21 Hampshire Avenue, one block from the western boundary of the George Washington University campus. 22 23 The Foggy Bottom I know and walk daily bears no resemblance to the crowded, airless, overrun 24 25 place described by opponents of the university. Along

with many, many other residents of Foggy Bottom, I believe that the university is an asset of immense value to the community, not just for the intellectual and cultural opportunities it offers but for the long-term stability it assures the neighborhood. The university is an amenity to be embraced, not a presence to be deplored. It's a reason to move to Foggy Bottom.

Before coming to Washington, I was for 16 years a Director of the Higher Education Program of the Andrew Mellon Foundation in New York, which was at the time the nation's largest private foundation program for higher education. In that capacity, I evaluated thousands of proposals from universities wanting grant money for projects of every description.

Reading the new campus plan against that experience, I can't help but commend its ambition in detail. Let's not forget that the plan's fundamental little discussed in these hearings, purpose, so perhaps necessarily, is to ensure that the academic mission of the university will be enhanced in the base of sound financial coming decades, have a support, and keep faith with the community.

That admirable goal runs up against the astonishingly narrow "gotcha" mentality of critics who

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

appear indifferent to the contribution a major university could make to a neighborhood, a city, or even a nation but are energized by the prospect of counting excess cars and students.

The contentious issues in the plan should have triggered a process of frank discussion and negotiation between the university and the community. The university's representatives were open to that process. Those who profess to speak for the community were not.

As a member of ANC 2A since January 2005 and the Commission's current secretary, I dissociate myself from the course of protest here taken by the group.

Our ANC consists of six commissioners.

Three oppose the university's plan. Two favor it.

And the last has concerns but finds in the plan more merit than not. So the ANC is much closer to an even split than to a stance of solid opposition.

Moreover, from 2005 until August 2006, a period of 9 months, the full membership of the ANC was never given an opportunity to debate in public or in private the university's plans. Nor was a vote taken on them. During that nine-month period, no university development issue was allowed on our public agenda.

NEAL R. GROSS

62 When the university was finally permitted to present its plans at a public meeting of the ANC in August 2006, the letter protesting them had already been written. The university deserved better. And so especially did the community. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Commissioner Morris. the Commission Ouestions from panel?

Questions from the Commission for the panel? I'll just pose the same general question that I had posed to the folks who were residents in the prior panel, which is do you have any comments as it relates to your personal experience with the adverse impacts that we have heard described regarding noise, traffic, student behavior, things like that, anything that you would want to share with the Commission? Mr. Morris?

MR. MORRIS: I think there are traffic problems in the neighborhood, but I think they are largely a result of its being a commuter route, several of the main streets being a commuter route. So that in the morning and in the evening, there are problems.

During the day, such as today, we are four weeks into, five weeks into a new term. I walked

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 around the campus, walked 20 blocks of the campus in 2 the middle of the day. Yes, there are people on the 3 sidewalks. There is no difficulty in getting anywhere 4 on the campus or in crossing any street on the campus. 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else want to 6 share experiences? Mr. Lincoln? 7 MR. LINCOLN: I would just like to say in the seven years I have lived at Watergate East and 8 9 experienced Foggy Bottom, Ι have never student 10 misbehavior of any sort, including noise. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Anyone else? 11 12 MS. BOYER: I know for myself, I have had more help in getting my groceries carried home by the 13 GW students than I ever had before in my life. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Mr. Hood? 16 VICE-CHAIRPERSON Madam HOOD: Chair, 17 Commissioner Morris, aqain, I'm harping this advisory panel, whatever it was called. 18 From your 19 experience, what has been the sentiment of sitting around the table? Because I believe that's where a lot 20 21 of work actually is hashed out. 22 From your experience being on ANC 23 Commission 2A, what has been sentiment of sitting down at the table and trying to negotiate some of these 24 25 issues before coming down in front of the

Zoning

1	Commission or any board?
2	MR. MORRIS: I think there has been my
3	sense is that there has been resistance to that. Back
4	in November 2005, the Commission approved what seemed
5	to me an astonishingly foolish resolution, the first
6	part of which said that we opposed consideration of
7	any further development of the campus until initially
8	an independent audit had been completed. There were a
9	number of other resolutions.
10	At the time I asked whether opposing
11	consideration of development issues wouldn't hobble
12	the ANC. Are we still able to consider these things
13	ourselves?
14	I voted against the resolution. I had no
15	satisfactory answer at that time. And, as I say, it
16	appeared that for nine months, that resolution
17	governed what appeared on the public agendas of the
18	ANC.
19	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Thank
20	you, Madam Chair.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else,
22	questions?
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Madam Chair?
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Turnbull?
25	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just had a

1	quescion for commissioner morris. Has the ANC been
2	split like this for a period of time? Has it been
3	close or has it been changing?
4	MR. MORRIS: Well, I have only been since,
5	as I say, January 2005. And I think it's been split,
6	yes.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Is the split
8	MR. MORRIS: I don't want to
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Is the split so
10	far apart that you don't see yourself coming together?
11	Is it
12	MR. MORRIS: Well, I'm all in favor of
13	discussion, negotiation, and doing it in public so
14	that the community can participate in the process.
15	That has not been what has gone on at the commission.
16	Part of the reason may be that there was a fear that
17	there would be heated disagreement in public,
18	precisely because of the contending views of the
19	commissioners.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you. Thank
21	you.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Dwyer, any
23	questions?
24	MR. DWYER: No questions.
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?

1	MR. HITCHCOCK: No questions.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Kahlow?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you,
5	everyone.
6	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
7	excused.)
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Next up, David
9	Lehrman, Philip Robinson, Omar Woodard, Harold Belmar.
10	Whereupon, DAVID LEHRMAN; PHILIP M. ROBINSON,
11	JR.; OMAR WOODARD, and HAROLD BELMAR were
12	called as persons in support and, having been
13	previously duly sworn, were examined and testified as
14	follows:
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We'll start on this
16	end and move our way down the table. I knew some of
17	the folks from the last panel. I don't on this one.
18	Sir, if you would identify yourself and begin?
19	Turn on the mike for us when you start.
20	Push the button. The light goes on. Very good.
21	Thank you.
22	MR. LEHRMAN: You can hear me okay?
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.
24	MR. LEHRMAN: Madam Chair, Mr. Vice-Chair,
25	and distinguished members of the Commission, my name
I	

is David Lehrman, and I am the Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for 2A-01. I have lived in Foggy Bottom for 14 years and have been an ANC commissioner for 4.

I served our government as an attorney for the U.S. Department of Transportation. And I continue to write about the United States Supreme Court for a prominent legal newspaper. I am running for my third term. I hope I will be privileged to serve. I live at 2020 F Street, among 95 percent of a building which is occupied by students at the university.

One personal aside, which is that, unfortunately, I have been away on an around-the-world trip that had some advantages, some disadvantages. Some things I won't know about that took place within the last few months. But I would point out that in my most recent trip to South Africa, I visited Nelson Mandela at home and saw the impact that a leader can make in healing a country and the divisive political issues, their own ANC, admittedly a different acronym

(Laughter.)

MR. LEHRMAN: -- but one associated with the assertion of human rights, dignity of all people, and genuine debates among differing viewpoints. My question is, where is the Nelson Mandela of our

NEAL R. GROSS

community?

Too often I have attended meetings in which the most negative or cynical view of the university whips up a feeding frenzy, lacking any sense of proportion. Simply put, the university enables bright people to study at the seat of power.

The library is for the local residents.

The courses can be audited. And if you become ill,

the hospital might even save your life. How bad is

that?

In fact, when students leave the area at break time, I find myself rerouting my walking routes at night because I don't feel as safe without the collective numbers of large numbers of energetic people on the streets.

When I was in Johannesburg recently, I asked a young woman from Soweto, symbol of the fall of apartheid, about Nelson Mandela. And I think it's relevant to our stories about the ANC here in Washington. "He's not Christian, is he?" I asked.

And she said, "No."

"And he doesn't pray, does he?" I asked.

And she said, "No."

"And how," I said, "did he invite his jailer to his inauguration," an act of such

NEAL R. GROSS

69 1 reconciliation that our own ANC in Washington seems to 2 be unable to achieve? "He doesn't have to believe in God" was 3 4 her answer, "We South Africans revere him. 5 cannot help but conclude he has to have been sent by God." 6 7 believe Now, me, as Ι approach Rosh Hashanah and the Jewish high noon holidays, I am not 8 9 here to endorse theological views, especially ones that I am not even that informed about, but I do ask 10 some selfless, ethical, genuine leader of the group of 11 12 those who oppose the university's positions to show the courage of a Mandela and start a genuine debate on 13 14 the role that GW can play in growing the community. But it starts with leadership among homeowners and 15 16 mature long-time residents. 17 I sometimes say that when you go to an ANC meeting, it's more like a Rorschach test of your own 18 19 views on not just GW but on youth. You can decide 20 that it's a bunch of people making trouble or you can

decide that you're going to be inspired by vitality of youth.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Commissioner --

MR. LEHRMAN: In conclusion, if I may ask

25

21

22

23

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. There you go. 2 Closing thought. Very good. In conclusion, perhaps the 3 MR. LEHRMAN: 4 Nelson Mandelas of the world are simply too few. And 5 it's a bit much to ask for a Foggy Bottom equivalent. 6 Maybe that's a little over the top on my part. 7 it's not too much to credit broad-minded individuals who passionately seek a balance between the role of 8 9 the university and how it can continue to add to the 10 quality of life here, yet have а dialoque intellectual honesty, genuine critical thinking about 11 12 where the university can improve his additions. that's what I seek. 13 Thank you for the privilege of testifying 14 15 before this august Commission. I remain ready for any 16 questions you ask me. 17 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. And we will read the full testimony that you submitted for 18 19 the record. 20 MR. LEHRMAN: Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Sir? 22 MR. ROBINSON: Good evening, Chairwoman 23 Mitten, Vice-Chair Hood, Commissioners Turnbull and Jeffries. My name is Philip M. Robinson, Jr. 24 I went 25 to GW from 1999 to 2003. While there, I worked at the

Multi-cultural Student Services Center, which interacted with students at the School Without Walls, among other places, and local charities. I also had the privilege to be the President for the Black Student Union, which also worked with residents of the Foggy Bottom community. And, finally, as Student Association President my senior year, I represented 23,000 undergraduate and graduate students over working in various projects throughout the community.

What I have seen in this whole entire process is the ability to start programs, whether it's registering students to vote and become residents of the District of Columbia, D.C. statehood, as well as, again, with the neighborhood project works to help beautify the community.

I believe in all of these things I've just listed, it gives me a unique perspective as both a resident for a while in the summer in Foggy Bottom during my college years as well as the one who attended there. I see three distinguishing reasons why you should support this program.

One, I believe that students of the George Washington University are students who have helped inevitably bring positive actions to the community as well as working with the community. I believe that

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the majority of the residents there would feel the same way about the students there.

Second, I believe that having the majority of students on campus is a way for them to connect to their campus and also to the residents who are there. And I believe the campus plan does that by providing for up to 1,000 beds maximum for them to stay.

And, finally, I think it's somewhere in which a lot of these students, having known many of them personally, end up becoming tax-paying students, who now they bring money as revenue to the Foggy Bottom community but as well in becoming residents of the Foggy Bottom community.

Finally, I would like to close with a story that I read in the Washington Post not that long ago. It was entitled "Way Out of the Norm Dorms." And it was a story written by Christine Kinsey, I believe, in which she stated a story about, I believe, in Hyattsville, Maryland, where there is a place called the town's University Center, in which various schools from the surrounding area, Maryland, American, Catholic, GW, many of those students, graduate and undergraduate, are now staying at that tower center, far out away from the community and away from the students and the residents.

NEAL R. GROSS

And although there was a lot of glitz and glamour, pools, movie theatres, the like, all beyond that glitz and glamour, there was one section that really struck me in which they asked Ms. Lisa Evans, who was a freshman at the University of Maryland and another freshman at George Washington University was also interviewed. And they asked them what you thought of the place. And they said, "Although we love the amenities, the one thing I wonder is why we disconnected from the campus."

And she followed up by saying that "I believe the core part of being in college was: one, being able to live in the dorms; and, two, being able to be a part of the community."

I really think that story summarizes what we're here for today and really what we're trying to -- I believe that it is not mutually exclusive of the goals of the George Washington University and the Foggy Bottom community, having worked there with them.

I believe that both sides, the majority want to work together. And I would just encourage you to support this plan and to disregard maybe the minority views of a few who may not be in support of the plan.

Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.

Sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good evening, everyone. MR. BELMAR: Мγ name is Harold Belmar. I work in Washington and live in the Foggy Bottom area of the city. I am here tonight to speak in favor of and voice my support for the Bottom campus plan 2006 2025. Foggy to Specifically I would like to mention the GW plan cases 06-211, 06-212, and 06-219.

The "grow up, not out" approach allows GW to build the modern facilities necessary to maintain its status as a world-class university while preserving open spaces and important campus historic resources.

For over two years leading up to the September 2006 Zoning Commission hearings, GW has engaged in conversations with its neighbors about the future of the Foggy Bottom campus, reaching out to hundreds of community members.

Further, the campus plan proposes strengthening the network of campus pathways to create better connections between open spaces and various university uses. Active open spaces in travel corridors create not only a more pedestrian-friendly campus environment but can also enhance campus safety,

NEAL R. GROSS

1 promoting positive surveillance and reducing places 2 where undue activities occur. strongly and enthusiastically endorse 3 4 the Foggy Bottom campus plan 2006-2025. Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr. 6 Belmar. 7 And so you must be Mr. Woodard. MR. WOODARD: Madam Chair, 8 Yes, ma'am. 9 Vice-Chair Hood, and distinguished members of 10 Zoning Commission, my name is Omar Woodard. Ιt great pleasure that I provide testimony in support of 11 12 the George Washington University's campus plan. My standing in the GW community has run 13 I've served as former Student Body 14 gamut. a graduate 15 President, currently serve as а simple 16 I took part in the deliberations of the 17 campus plan as an undergraduate. So I'm intimately familiar with the campus plan. 18 19 What led me to GW almost six years ago, I admit, was 20 GW per Growing not se. 21 Philadelphia, enjoying politics, indeed, I wanted to desperately be in Washington, D.C. But I heard that 22 23 the District of Columbia was too dangerous and that the nation's capital wasn't safe enough for me. 24 And

that was before 9/11.

Now, as most tragedies do, 9/11 indeed brought us all together and made us realize once again that we're all interconnected, that our destinies are intertwined. As such, it is clear that the success of this city and any great American city, for that matter, is dependent upon the result of its citizens and its institutions. George Washington is one such institution.

The more we invest in our institutions, the better off we are. And GW recognizes that the more we invest in the city and its citizens, the better off we all are.

From providing full scholarships, to graduating seniors to partnerships with School Without Walls, to providing a new robust tax revenue stream for the city in square 54, GW will continue to invest in this city.

Now, GW does all of this because it recognizes we are all in this together. It seems to me, then, that this campus plan is about an investment in both this city and in GW, but it's also about growth. No amount of legalisms and technicalities can hide the fact that growth when done right benefits everyone.

The process GW has embarked upon is

NEAL R. GROSS

getting it done right. When the opposing arguments are reduced to legal technicalities and ad hominem attacks, it shows that GW's strategy of community and university partnerships is working.

Advancing the tried and true notion that our successes inevitably intertwine is showing results. It is not a coincidence that as this city continues to grow in density and prestige, so, too, does GW.

With respect to the campus plan process, it is a reflecting of competing interests, yes, but on its face, it is also a reflection of a positive vision for the future and shared values of GW and the community.

There is collaboration, which we have seen in the community outreach. We have seen accountability, competence, and transparency, as noted in the student enrollment audit. And GW's enduring commitment to reinvest in this city and its people is simply good for everyone.

The bottom line is this. The campus plan represents the future of higher education and the very heart of the nation's capital. Emerging from the dimly lit shadows of regional mediocrity to the illuminating glow of international prominence, this

NEAL R. GROSS

	78
1	city deserves no less than excellence from its
2	institutions. And with your approval, GW will
3	continue to do just that and will move from strength
4	to strength with this campus plan.
5	And I think you.
6	(Laughter.)
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Right on
8	the bell.
9	Any questions from the Commission for this
10	panel?
11	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just wanted to
12	ask and anybody might respond. If you can just
13	give me a sound byte answer? I know you're down here
14	in support, but why should I help me to understand
15	why should I go along with this 25-year plan when
16	there is a 10-year plan which my BZA colleagues and my
17	Chairperson, I think, worked very hard on.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I did.
19	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Tell me, why
20	should I even deal with this? Why should I not wait
21	until 2010, when possibly I will be off the Commission
22	
23	(Laughter.)
24	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's just a
25	joke, but why should I even consider this 25-year plan

when I know that GW, the community, BZA, my BZA colleagues, and my Chairperson worked very hard on this? Tell me, why should we even consider it? Why should I even deal with a 25-year when we've got a 10-year in place? Just because GW proposed it?

MR. WOODARD: Not just because GW proposed it, but I think just simply because GW proposed a forward-thinking, innovative plan. And, of course, that's something that always merits consideration.

In this case, it's something that merits strong consideration because it's in, GW is in, and of the city. It's in and of the community. There have been committed university and neighborhood partnerships to move this kind of initiative forward.

This plan represents community needs. This isn't simply about ambition to grow. And if we are talking about growth, we're talking about growing up and not out. And so if we're growing up, I guess that's an appropriate phrase because GW is maturing. It's a maturing institution approaching its 200-year anniversary.

Why not in 20 years? Why not look forward 20-25 years and see how good can this university be? How great can this university be? And there's no time like the present to make that happen.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	If there's any time to take hold of this
2	institution, it's now. It's now.
3	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Anybody
4	else want to respond quickly?
5	MR. LEHRMAN: Mr. Vice-Chair, just that do
6	we really want to be accused of not being visionaries
7	25 years from now? After all, isn't that what city
8	planners are always saying, that when you start
9	growing ad hoc in the city, the next thing you know,
10	you will just have bits and pieces all over a city?
11	And, really, the lack of vision and structural
12	integrity is what was lacking.
13	So now when you have somebody willing to
14	look a quarter of a century into the future and plan
15	ahead, you really do maybe you won't be on the
16	Commission, but why not take the credit later on,
17	having been on
18	(Laughter.)
19	MR. LEHRMAN: for being one of the
20	visionaries that supported the plan?
21	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: There have been
22	some things out there I do not want the credit on.
23	(Laughter.)
24	MR. LEHRMAN: We tried.
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Any other

1	commissioners have questions? Ms. Dwyer?
2	MR. DWYER: No questions.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?
4	MR. HITCHCOCK: One or two for Mr.
5	Lehrman. CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	MR. HITCHCOCK: Mr. Lehrman, I heard you
7	say you have been on an around-the-world trip. Did I
8	understand you have not been involved in ANC
9	deliberations for the last four months or so?
LO	MR. LEHRMAN: Essentially since July the
L1	3rd of this year, I was around the world on a trip in
L2	which I was communicating about once every ten days
L3	with at least one of the commissioners on the ANC just
L4	to get some sense of what the evolution was.
L5	And I did check my e-mail. So I did see
L6	what the ongoing evolution of documents was. I just
L7	don't want to say that I'm as informed as when I see
L8	the hard copy version arriving in your mailbox every
L9	day.
20	MR. HITCHCOCK: Okay. Thank you.
21	During your tenure on the ANC, is there a
22	time you can recall when a GW-related item has been
23	proposed for the agenda but not put on the agenda?
24	MR. LEHRMAN: Yes. Actually, I'm certain
25	that there have been times when GW really aggressively

1	tried to get itself on the agenda on some occasions
2	and was not able to. I'm trying to recall under these
3	circumstances that there was something a few months
4	ago or I remember speaking to some of the GW people
5	who were trying to get an issue on one of the agendas
6	and finding that they were not successful at that
7	effort, yes.
8	MR. HITCHCOCK: But you don't remember the
9	details?
10	MR. LEHRMAN: I do not, no.
11	MR. HITCHCOCK: And do you remember as
12	commissioner being unable to raise an issue?
13	MR. LEHRMAN: As a commissioner, I felt I
14	always was able to raise an issue.
15	MR. HITCHCOCK: Okay. Thank you.
16	MR. LEHRMAN: You invoked Nelson Mandela's
17	name. And that's certainly a very good name to invoke.
18	I guess about the need for leadership, have you
19	thought of stepping forward?
20	MR. LEHRMAN: Well, I certainly have.
21	First of all, I have been very happy with our
22	leadership. I mean, we have been very, very lucky
23	with our leadership. And I think we have a very, very
24	strong ANC.
25	I mean, one of the things that I hate to

1	reluctantly give credit to is that we have a lot of
2	brilliant people in ANC who disagree with me
3	sometimes. And they come up with the most wonderful
4	arguments on viewpoints that I disagree with.
5	So I am not going to try and claim that
6	you don't get people who came from the World Bank and
7	the International Monetary Fund, the State Department,
8	the Congress. These are people who came here often at
9	considerable financial sacrifice because they wanted
10	to make the world a better place.
11	So if you ask me, what do I think of the
12	kind of people that you get in the community, I have
13	the highest regard for even those people who disagree
14	with me.
15	MR. HITCHCOCK: Well, that wasn't my
16	question, but that's a good way to end. Thank you
17	very much.
18	MR. LEHRMAN: Thank you for the
19	opportunity to answer.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
21	Ms. Kahlow, questions?
22	MS. KAHLOW: I have questions for two of
23	the witnesses. CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	MS. KAHLOW: Mr. Woodard, where do you
25	live?

1	MR. WOODARD: I live in Ward Two.
2	MS. KAHLOW: Where?
3	MR. WOODARD: Tenth and M Streets
4	currently.
5	MS. KAHLOW: What section of town is that?
6	MR. WOODARD: We call that Shaw.
7	MS. KAHLOW: Thank you. And do you have a
8	car?
9	MR. WOODARD: I do not.
10	MS. KAHLOW: How do you get to campus?
11	MR. WOODARD: Metro and my feet.
12	MS. KAHLOW: Okay. Thank you.
13	(Laughter.)
14	MS. KAHLOW: And, Mr. Robinson, where do
15	you live?
16	MR. ROBINSON: I live in Arlington,
17	Virginia. And I commute to downtown D.C. every day.
18	And I have a car, and I take the Metro, preferably the
19	Metro, but I do have a car as well.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Wait for her to ask
21	you questions.
22	(Laughter.)
23	MS. KAHLOW: Do you come to GW campus
24	ever?
25	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, I do. I was there
	NEAL R. GROSS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	just recently, maybe two, two and a half weeks ago,
2	actually.
3	MS. KAHLOW: And do you come by your car
4	or Metro?
5	MR. ROBINSON: Both. It depends.
6	MS. KAHLOW: When you come by your car,
7	where do you park?
8	MR. ROBINSON: Either most of the time
9	on the street somewhere, on the curb, on the street
10	parking.
11	MS. KAHLOW: Do you have Virginia tags?
12	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, I do.
13	MS. KAHLOW: Where do you pay taxes?
14	MR. ROBINSON: Virginia.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. You are
16	getting a little bit far afield of the
17	MS. KAHLOW: I am trying to understand for
18	impact. And we're going to be discussing impact.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: How does where he
20	pays taxes impact?
21	MS. KAHLOW: Because I'm going to ask
22	about
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We don't need to have
24	
25	MS. KAHLOW: I'm going to ask if he has a

1 Ward Two sticker, but that's okay. Thank you. It's 2 not critical if you don't want it. Okay. 3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thanks. 4 Thanks to the panel. 5 (Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was excused.) 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Now we have another veteran of the campus plan. Sheila Cross-Reid is here 8 9 to testify, Sheila Harris, Jan Simco, Josh Lasky. SHEILA CROSS-REID, SHEILA MILLS HARRIS, 10 Whereupon, and JOSH LASKY were 11 JAN SIMCO, 12 called and, having as persons in support been previously duly sworn, were examined and testified as 13 follows: 14 15 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Cross-Reid, it's 16 good to see you. All the veterans were claiming their 17 So I thought I would call that out. status earlier. And we're getting t-shirts made, just so you know. 18 19 (Laughter.) 20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: If you wouldn't mind 21 turning on the mike and introducing yourself for the 22 record? And please begin. MS. CROSS-REID: Good evening, Chairperson 23 Mitten, Vice-Chair Hood, and members of the Zoning 24 25 Commission. My name is Sheila Cross-Reid, former Chair

of the BZA that approved GW's 2000 campus plan. Today I am testifying as a private citizen who supports the current proposal.

In GW's 2000 campus plan, one of the

In GW's 2000 campus plan, one of the primary concerns was the lack of sufficient university housing on campus for GW's full-time undergraduate population. In 2000, GW housed approximately only 50 percent of its full-time undergraduates.

The BZA imposed stringent conditions to require GW to increase its on-campus housing capacity. We imposed a 70 percent-plus housing requirement. GW was to provide housing for 70 percent of its full-time undergraduates, up to 8,000, and an additional bed for every student over 8,000. All beds had to be on campus. GW was not permitted to count beds in its off-campus residence halls.

In the interim, GW has made great strides in meeting the housing requirements set forth in the 2000 plan. According to GW reports, the university's added approximately 2,800 beds since 2000.

The university has met the 70 percent-plus housing this fall with more than 6,300 beds all located on campus. Thus, GW has achieved the housing goal the BZA set out in the 2000 campus plan.

Use of the campus dormitories. I

NEAL R. GROSS

understand that GW proposed to discontinue the use of each of its off-campus dormitories for undergraduate housing by a set time table. Use of such off-campus housing for undergraduates was a key issue of concern in the 2000 plan.

Expansion of new university uses residential zones off campus. GW proposes to agree purchase additional residentially not zoned properties in the Foggy Bottom-West End for university use for the next 20 years. This agreement to forego its right to engage in such matter-of-right purchases and use is a major step by GW to address a significant area of concern in the 2000 plan.

Use of the old hospital site, square 54, GW proposes a mixed-use town center that would include offices, apartments, and retail uses that would return this property to the tax rows. While this project is the subject of a certain Zoning Commission application, such a concept is appropriate for a major development area in Washington Circle and consistent with other GW-owned commercial uses on Pennsylvania Avenue.

Historic preservation district for a large part of the campus. GW has agreed to complete discussions with HPRB for historic district for the

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

southern part of the campus, which would preserve the historic character of this area in perpetuity.

Given the progress that GW has made in meeting its own campus housing requirements and the university is commitment the willing to make address longstanding issues of community concern, I would highly recommend that the Commission grant the university request to substantially increase the density at the core of the campus for university a) increase density through zoning the parts of the interior of the campus along 20th Street is the appropriate place for expanded academic and student housing uses away from the community; b) rezoning parts of the campus to commercial zones is consistent with the higher density in the surrounding area.

Conclusion, I distinctly recall how the BZA struggled to find the right balance between the the university and the surrounding interests of community in 2000. Ι firmly believe that far-reaching plan that GW has now put forth will build made under the 2000 on the progress plan and significantly advance not only the interests of GW but the surrounding community and the city as a whole.

This plan continues the key conditions we put into place in the 2000 plan while addressing many

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 issues where there were not tools available to reach 2 five years ago, including issues of off-campus 3 expansion. Finally, I feel this plan successfully 4 5 lays groundwork for hopefully the resolving 6 longstanding sources of friction between GW and the surrounding community. 7 Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. See, I couldn't cut off the former Chair 10 of the BZA. I just couldn't do it. 11 12 (Laughter.) 13 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Harris? 14 MS. MILLS HARRIS: Yes. Good evening, members of the Zoning Commission. 15 I am Sheila Mills 16 And I served as the principal of School Harris. 17 Without Walls Senior High School until my retirement in June of 2006. 18 19 The School Without Walls is located on DCPS property in the heart of GW's Foggy Bottom 20 21 And I am here to testify in support of GW's campus. 22 2006-2025 Foggy Bottom campus plan. 23 During my tenure as principal of SWW, I had an opportunity to work closely with GW officials 24 25 a variety of collaborations regarding academic on

programs as well as the sharing of facilities. GW and SWW have had a long history of working together for the mutual benefit of both institutions.

Next month this Commission is scheduled to hear an application filed jointly by GW and the D.C. Public School System involving the renovation and addition to the School Without Walls and a new residence hall for GW.

I continue to work closely with the educational task force, which is a key component of that joint effort, in order to enhance the programmatic collaboration among the partners.

GW has been a good partner for the School Without Walls and its students. As part of a mutual sharing of facilities, GW has provided access to various on-campus buildings, including the athletic facilities on campus.

Since SWW lacks a gymnasium, GW has allowed the school to use both the Smith Center and the Lerner Health and Wellness Center to conduct physical education classes. I understand that this Commission approved the use of the Health and Wellness Center for SWW students. And for that, we were most grateful.

The collaboration between the GW and SWW,

NEAL R. GROSS

1	however, goes beyond athletic facilities. Every year
2	students at SWW are permitted to take courses at GW
3	with no charge for tuition. And this courtesy has
4	been extended to the faculty as well. In addition,
5	the university has provided tutors for our students,
6	internships. And the faculty and staff of SWW take
7	full advantage of the various food venues in and
8	around campus since SWW does not have its own
9	cafeteria.
10	As a school without walls, our students
11	are constantly in and part of the community. And GW
12	has always opened its arms to us as part of that
13	community.
14	The GW campus plan would enable the
15	university to improve its facilities by adding more
16	buildable space to the campus. This "grow up, not
17	out" approach is good for the community.
18	For the SWW, in particular, the campus
19	plan would provide the following potential benefits.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I am going to need
21	you to summarize because you only have a few seconds
22	left. All right?
23	MS. MILLS HARRIS: I will. Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
25	MS. MILLS HARRIS: As GW improves and

1 increases this academic space, adding a new science 2 center, engineering building, and other buildings, SWW will reap the benefits of these buildings. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Ms. 5 Harris. 6 MS. MILLS HARRIS: Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Simco, I need you to turn the mike on before you start. There you go. 8 9 MR. SIMCO: Ladies and gentlemen, I am an 10 immigrant from the former Czechoslovakia. I came to 11 America in 1967 but moved to Foggy Bottom 4 years ago. 12 So I have lived here for four years, and I know something about the area. 13 As grammacy is sort of weak, so I will try 14 15 to be brief and under three minutes, as you asked me 16 to be. 17 Why am I here? I am not an architect. am not an urban planner. I am not a financier. 18 19 not an economist. But I am an academic of 20 experience with universities all over the world. 21 something say about the plan have to of the 22 university. 23 But I have to tell you I have not been 24 influenced by them. What I have to say is, as he

said, era and studio. That means I am not biased this

way or that way.

The function of the university is to instruct and to do scholastic research, not to sell groceries to the public, as some of the plans have tried to imply. Nevertheless, George Washington University has given the community a great gift, which is the hospital.

The hospital serves not just the employees, not the students but the whole community. And in this respect, the university deserves support of the whole community, not just Foggy Bottom but I think all of Washington, D.C.

I can testify for myself that my life has been saved here in this hospital several times over and -- I am not quite sure -- maybe even the Vice president. And definitely we know the former President Ronald Reagan was also saved here in 1981. So that's a consideration for which this university should be supported in their endeavors.

But my suggestion -- I'm not sure whether it's in the plan -- is that I have seen universities all over the world and universities even here in Washington, let's say -- you can identify it by some buildings which identify the university.

George Washington University is scattered

NEAL R. GROSS

over 40 million people. Where is George Washington University? You can't say it's here or there. And my suggestion is George Washington University needs your support to build a structure which would coordinate all of these disparate offices which are scattered miles apart in one would-be architecturally impressive to symbolize this rising important institutions, which would not be little.

That's my message.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you very much.

And you are Mr. Lasky?

MR. LASKY: Yes. Chairperson Mitten and distinguished members of the Zoning Commission, my name is Josh Lasky. And I come to you as the Executive Vice President of the Student Association at GW. I am also in my third year of employment with GW Housing Programs. And I currently serve as a house scholar, resident adviser in 2109 F Street.

I have put together a series of points to express my strong support for GW's Foggy Bottom campus plan, 2006 through 2025. GW has a dynamic campus that must adjust to the needs of an ever-changing student population in a quickly evolving city. It is a modern campus with a reputation for great facilities, including classrooms, community space, and residents'

NEAL R. GROSS

houses.

In order to adjust for the needs of future students, the campus plan must be approved in its entirety. The process of building the future of GW has helped to reaffirm the university's commitment to this city and its neighbors in Foggy Bottom.

With over two years of open discussion regarding the development of our campus, the community and the university have collaborated to construct a vision that is fair and beneficial for all.

GW has made a commitment to staying within its existing boundaries and concentrating development at the core of campus, rather than at the edges.

This concept is at the very heart of the university's development plans and is evidence of the community involvement that has existed on this project since the very start.

Benefits offered to the community by GW are greater now than ever and will continue to expand in the future. Local community members have the opportunity to audit classes at a fraction of the price that students pay. Programming, like the Foggy Bottom-West End neighborhood block party, which takes place on October 1st, and the Fresh Farms farmers' markets bring a great sense of community to the area.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Not only does the campus offer great
2	facilities in the form of open space, such as Kogan
3	Plaza and University Yard, but also serves the
4	community with functional space, like the Annette and
5	Theodore Lerner Family Health and Wellness Center and
6	Gelman Library.
7	As a future alumni, I am aware of the fact
8	that I will bring a piece of GW wherever I go and
9	whatever I do. I want the best possible future for
10	GW. And a campus plan is a guarantee that over the
11	next 20 years, the university will continue to serve
12	students and its neighbors in the best possible way.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
15	Questions from the Commission for the
16	panel? Any questions? Mr. Hood?
17	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Reid, it's
18	good to see you. We've had many days up here on the
19	dias with you as Chairperson of the BZA. I learned a
20	lot when I sat on the BZA from you. So I want to
21	publicly thank you for that.
22	MS. CROSS-REID: Thank you.
23	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And then I want to
24	ask you a question. Since I have you up here, I want
25	to deliberate with you.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: A lot of times GW is asking for 25-year plan -- is it 20? Where did I get 25? Maybe I want them to do 25. No. They asked for a 20-year plan. Do you think that that -- from your expert being around, being up here, do you think that is too long for us, another review process? Would you suggest maybe we cut it back to 15?

I know you are down here in support of exactly what is here, but kind of put your other hat back on. What would you suggest?

MS. CROSS-REID: Ι heard you ask previous panel a question similar to that. I thought about the fact that, you know, as testimony here has expressed, the city and the conditions regarding education development and the surrounding the university is ever changing.

And, as such, I felt that given the fact that now there is a new hospital and then there is a parcel that is vacant, that there was an opportunity that GW saw to be able to utilize, to more efficiently utilize, higher and best use, that space, and to improve upon the existing plan to replace what would happen; in other words, put in -- to replace what is there now and to put into place something that would

NEAL R. GROSS

be better and more efficient and that would be more serviceable to the community, to the university, and to the students, and to the city at large because right now you have a situation where you have space that's under-utilized, there's tax revenue that's missing, as well as the university certainly would be able to generate additional income from developing the space that's there on square 54 as well as some of the other proffers that they're making to improve the existing campus plan that is now on the table.

And I think this is the reason why they decided to come early and to offer to the Commission an opportunity perhaps to be able to improve on what is already there and to give the city a much better product in the long run. And the question as to why 20 years, I think that historically campus plans are over a period of time.

And, as such, it requires putting it into operation to kind of, you know, forecast and have vision as to how it could possibly work for the future benefit of everyone concerned.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you for your answer. It is always good to see you.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr. Hood.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Mr. Turnbull? You didn't get your mike on
2	there.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Ms. Reid, it
4	sounded from the tone of your testimony that you feel
5	that GW has made a sincere attempt to meet the
6	requirements that the BZA had set up. You mentioned a
7	lot of 70 percent of the housing requirement. You
8	mentioned beds. Do you feel that it's your feeling
9	that GW has been sincere in its attempt to meet those
LO	guidelines?
L1	MS. CROSS-REID: Not only do I think that
L2	they are sincere. I, quite frankly, was a little
L3	hesitant about their being able to do so because I was
L4	one of the ones that felt that the requirements were
L5	being, conditions were a little too stringent. And I
L6	wanted them to be modified.
L7	However, surprising to me, they have been
L8	able to meet them. They even exceed them in some
L9	instances.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: One of the things
21	that keeps coming up is FTEs and head count. What is
22	your take on where we're going with this?
23	MS. CROSS-REID: To be quite honest with
24	you, I'm not really sure because there was always an
25	issue. It was a matter of who was counting. You

know, in my opinion, it was one count was one number and another count was another number. And we couldn't ever really get our arms around exactly what the head count was.

I don't know if that has improved through the years, but that was always an issue around those, the actual numbers.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I mean, I guess in one part we talk about sincerity. I sort of have a feeling that I'm hearing from the ANC that there is an insincerity from the university to be totally above board on where the numbers are. And we talk about impact into the area.

MS. CROSS-REID: I couldn't really speak to the sincerity of the university. I think that the thing we would have to look at is what has actually manifested itself over the years.

And I don't think that I would be the one to be able to make that kind of assessment as to whether or not the university if they're accused of not being sincere -- I can't say if they were ever or were not, but in my opinion I felt like they had made the greatest effort to comply with what they promised to do and with the condition that was set forth in the existing plan for 2000.

NEAL R. GROSS

2 way I'm kind of taking them. 3 MS. CROSS-REID: 4 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I mean, you have 5 been very familiar with them over the years and what 6 they have tried to do. And it's your feeling that 7 there is a sincere attempt that the numbers that they're getting are trying to reach --8 9 MS. CROSS-REID: Oh, I do believe that. COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes. 10 MS. CROSS-REID: I do believe that. 11 12 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you. MS. CROSS-REID: 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Any questions? Ι 15 just wanted to -- since Ms. Reid did some, I just have 16 to say, you know, we were up here. And there was some 17 serious discussion. I just think that the compromise that was struck by the BZA -- and it was hard work. 18 But I think that we should 19 It was really hard work. all, the five of us should, be very proud that the 20 21 university -- first of all, that we put the agreement in place and it withstood many legal challenges and 22 23 that here we are August -- we have passed August 31st, 2006. And we achieve the objective. 24 25 MS. CROSS-REID: I agree. And that's one

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, that's the

1	of the reasons why I wanted to come here tonight to
2	testify. It's because of that.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: As Mr. Hood said,
4	it's good to see you.
5	MS. CROSS-REID: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So I'm glad we can
7	celebrate this victory such as it is from the first
8	campus plan order. So thanks for coming down.
9	Let me see if anybody else has any
10	questions. Ms. Dwyer?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?
13	MR. HITCHCOCK: Just one briefly for Ms.
14	Cross-Reid.
15	Nice to see you again.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	MR. HITCHCOCK: In preparing your
18	testimony here tonight, did you talk to the ANC or any
19	community groups ahead of time to get their sense of
20	how things were working?
21	MS. CROSS-REID: No, I did not talk to
22	them. This is basically what I have gleaned from
23	reading in the publication and talking to the
24	community, e-mails.
25	MR. HITCHCOCK: So you say talking to the

1	community? Who are you referring to?
2	MS. CROSS-REID: Oh, just generally, you
3	know, the problem here with GW is, you know, kind of
4	well-known around the city and lunches and just
5	cocktail parties and just
6	MR. HITCHCOCK: Okay.
7	MS. CROSS-REID: general discussions
8	about what is happening.
9	MR. HITCHCOCK: Okay. I was just checking
LO	from the ANC perspective or the Foggy Bottom. Thank
L1	you very much. Nice to see you again.
L2	MS. CROSS-REID: Okay.
L3	MR. HITCHCOCK: Thank you, Madam Chair.
L4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Kahlow, any
L5	questions?
L6	MS. KAHLOW: As expected, I only have a
L7	question for Mr. Lasky. Where do you live?
L8	MR. LASKY: I live in 2109 F Street.
L9	MS. KAHLOW: Do you have a car?
20	MR. LASKY: No, I do not.
21	MS. KAHLOW: Do your other people who live
22	in your building have cars?
23	MR. LASKY: I don't have accurate
24	information on that. I would think that most of the
25	second-year students I live with do not have cars.

1	MS. KAHLOW: Were you told as a freshman
2	or as a sophomore and were your parents told about the
3	restriction on student parking for freshmen and
4	sophomores?
5	MR. LASKY: I am 100 percent sure that was
6	communicated to me at one point earlier in my GW
7	career, yes.
8	MS. KAHLOW: Do you remember how you
9	learned of that restriction?
10	MR. LASKY: I believe I learned of it at
11	colonial inauguration, which is the new student
12	orientation program.
13	MS. KAHLOW: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thanks to the panel.
15	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
16	excused.)
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Next up we have Lamar
18	Thorpe; Jeff Merudian; I hope I said that right
19	I can't read the first name but Partalo,
20	P-a-r-t-a-l-o; Amy Connelly. I'm missing somebody.
21	So I'll get another name. Meseret Bekele. I'm sure I
22	pronounced that wrong, B-e-k-e-l-e. Whereupon,
23	JEFF MAROOTIAN, MESERET BEKELE, AMY CONNELLY,
24	LAMAR THORPE, and SONIA PARTALO were called as
25	persons in support and, having been previously duly

1	sworn, were examined and testified as follows:
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We'll start at this
3	end. And she'll take her seat in a minute. Are you
4	Mr. Marootian?
5	MR. MAROOTIAN: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You were on the ANC
7	before, right?
8	MR. MAROOTIAN: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Why don't you
LO	go ahead.
L1	MR. MAROOTIAN: Good evening, Madam Chair,
L2	members of the Commission. Thank you for the
L3	opportunity to read this brief statement into the
L4	record in support of the GW University's campus plan
L5	proposal.
L6	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Excuse me. Who
L7	are you?
L8	MR. MAROOTIAN: My name is Jeff Marootian.
L9	I am an alumnus of the George Washington University
20	and also a former advisory neighborhood commissioner
21	in ANC 2A. I resided in the Foggy Bottom-West End
22	community for seven years and currently live in Ward
23	One in the Columbia Heights community.
24	The university, like many large
25	institutions, has for many years been met with serious

opposition from vocal community residents. Having worked closely with some of these individuals, I understand and recognize the depths of their concerns.

But I also recognize that often much of been rooted inherently in this position has the process. I've taken the time to carefully review the merits of this campus plan, but I'm here really primarily to talk about the process that the university has undertaken in its development.

For over two years, the university and community members have been engaged in conversations about the future of the Foggy Bottom campus. The university and the Office of Planning developed a community-based planning process that has included residents, students, and faculty alike and alumni.

The process was not simply just paper-based plan. It was a fully implemented plan. Community residents played an active role in the process and, as a result, contributed significantly to the development of the plan. This collaborative effort is a tremendous step forward for the university and inherently for the community in which it resides.

The process included a series of open community meetings held during the Summer and Fall of

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2005 cosponsored by the D.C. Office of Planning, GW, and the Advisory Neighborhood Commission, and numerous small group meetings with civic associations, businesses, churches, institutions, and neighborhood residents to identify issues and seek suggestions.

The university hosted information sessions and also a three-day Urban Land Institute panel, which included two roundtable sessions for members of the community. The university also developed a Web site as a resource with information regarding GW planning and activities, development including copies the application material submitted the to Zoning Commission, a comprehensive issues exhibit documenting stakeholder input and comment, and all presentations made throughout the community-based planning process.

Over the course of the past few years, the university has also tremendously enhanced its efforts to meet the needs of its neighbors, simple steps, like creating a phone line for residents to call if there's a noise disturbance or issue involving a student, have gone a long way to improve relations and also the quality of life for everyone in Foggy Bottom.

The university has invested resources into genuinely fostering improvements as a good neighbor.

This planning process is an extension of that positive

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 working relationship that has been developing during 2 this time. As an alumnus and former active community 3 4 resident, I commend the university for fostering a 5 collaborative partnership with its neighbors and for including as many stakeholders as possible in the 6 7 planning process. 8 Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. We're 10 just going to go down the table. So ma'am? 11 MS. BEKELE: My name is Meseret Bekele. 12 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need you to turn on the microphone. There you go. 13 MS. BEKELE: My name is Meseret Bekele. 14 15 am the owner of Foggy Bottom grocery, 2140 F Street. 16 As such, as a --17 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Would you just either scoot forward or pull the mike a little bit closer? 18 19 I'm just having a little trouble hearing you. 20 don't have to start over. Just keep going. 21 MS. BEKELE: Okay. As such as my lovely independent community, for the past two years, 22 I'm having the September 2000 Zoning Commission hearing, 23 GW, continue engaging in a conversation with the 24 25 neighborhood about the future of Foggy Bottom plan.

As a community member and a small business owner, I have attended several of these issues. Those I can personally say that have truly appreciated sincerely organization, development, strategy, campus plan concerning new only the university development in targeting the location away surrounding the residential neighborhood, but environment through the landscaping, the streetscape environment. This plan also benefits all the whole community.

completely understand and, Ι without hesitation, increasing development of the Foggy Bottom area. However, GW also has been extremely helpful with any personal concern to our residents. In fact, GW has often qot too far away establishing and maintaining the streets of the District of Columbia, Foggy Bottom, West End.

The neighborhood Web site education, the Office of District is able to answer any question, the Web site also available, information environment university program campus development effort as a university maintains 24-hour community concerns, outline open to us for Foggy Bottom.

Foggy Bottom community, last is not least.

GW successfully coordinating university police

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	neighborhood action term response, community-related,
2	call any report. Simply put, GW made effort to regain
3	a better neighborhood, sincerely work that went into
4	taking short the campus plan related community issue
5	to the commander to help with the concern to
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need your closing
7	thought.
8	MS. BEKELE: Okay. So okay. Okay. The
9	responsibility also has okay. My concern. I wish
10	
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We'll read it. We
12	will read it.
13	MS. BEKELE: I wish that the community
14	what? Okay. I wish the new development good luck and
15	strong encouragement to support this campus plan.
16	Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
18	Ma'am? I should say "Miss"? I hated
19	being called "ma'am" like until I was 40.
20	MS. CONNELLY: That's okay.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You're clearly not
23	there yet.
24	MS. CONNELLY: Pushing it. Can you hear
25	okay?

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.

MS. CONNELLY: Okay. I would like to introduce myself. I am Amy Connelly, a George Washington University M.B.A. student but, more importantly, a Foggy Bottom resident.

I have come today before the Zoning Commission in support of the George Washington-Foggy Bottom redevelopment plan. Prior to becoming a full-time student, I worked within the home-building industry for five years.

Within the last few years, the industry really seen a change in how it looks has traditional development. Insiders have thrown out such buzz words as "mixed use," "transit-oriented developments, " "new urbanism, " and smart growth, " just to name a few. But what does all of this mean? words sound nice, but what is the practice behind them? What will I get out of it? These are questions I have been asking myself as a concerned resident within Foggy Bottom.

Through prior experience within the industry, research, and a better understanding of the plan in question, I can best illustrate the smart growth this plan calls for with the following attributes: walkability, connectivity, mixed use and

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

diversity, quality architecture and urban design, traditional neighborhood structure, smart transportation, and sustainability.

In summary, these together lead higher quality of life; better places to live, work, and play; higher, more stable property values; less traffic congestion and less driving; healthier lifestyles with more walking and less stress; close proximity to main street retail and services; close proximity to bike trails, parks, and nature; pedestrian-friendly communities, offering more opportunities to get to others in the neighborhood and town, resulting in meaningful relationships with more people and a friendlier community; more freedom and independence to children, elderly, and the poor, and being able to get to jobs, recreation and services without the need for a car or someone to drive them; great savings to residents and school boards residing bussing costs from children being able to walk bicycle to neighborhood schools; ordiversity; and smaller, unique shops, and services with local neighborhood owners who are involved in the community; big savings by driving less and owning less cars; less ugly, congested sprawl to deal with daily; and a better sense of place and community identity

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	with more unique architecture.
2	And the George Washington-Foggy Bottom
3	redevelopment plan will be no different. This plan
4	calls to add to and enhance the vibrancy already found
5	within the campus and surrounding community.
6	Thank you for your consideration and time
7	this evening.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Sir?
9	Young man?
10	(Laughter.)
11	MR. THORPE: Well, thank you. Thank you.
12	Oh, boy. Madam Chair, members of the Zoning
13	Commission, it is indeed a pleasure to be here
14	tonight. My name is Lamar Thorpe. I am a native of
15	Los Angeles, California and a six-year veteran of the
16	United States Navy.
17	Of all the major cities I have visited
18	worldwide, I can honestly tell you that Washington,
19	D.C. clearly stands above the rest. And I can thank
20	you as residents of Washington, D.C. for allowing
21	people like myself to engage in the great
22	opportunities that this city has to offer.
23	Today I am here as the George Washington
24	University student voice. I am the President of the
25	Student Association, which represents undergraduates,

graduates, law, and medical and professional students on all of our campuses.

Traditionally students across the country run for student body president criticizing their university administration for not listening to students. However, this year as well as in the past, it has been particularly hard to build that type of platform for our students.

At GW, administrators and students have collaborated in terms of our 20-year campus plan. Through a joint effort of administrators and students, we have developed Campaign GW.

The campaign began as a mode for students to get involved with the Office of Planning and engage in the development of the 20-year campus plan. They launched surveys where thousands of students involved themselves, participated in dorm's dorms, where senior GW administrators visited with students to get input regarding the campus plan and the residence halls. And we held student leadership forums, where students and representative leaders participated in the development process as well.

Today the 20-year campus plan is reflective of the collaboration between students and administrators. When I was elected president, I

NEAL R. GROSS

1 inherited Campaign GW that now focuses on assembling 2 student support for the 20-year plan. 3 have maintained the lines of 4 communication so that GW students and their leaders 5 in the decision-making process can participate 6 until the last zoning meeting or when you, Madam 7 Chair, close the record. Campaign GW informed all incoming freshmen students as well as continuing to 8 9 educate upperclassmen students about the 20-year plan. One thing you might have noticed at the 10 previous zoning meetings as well as tonight is that 11 12 the room is filled with support for the 20-year campus plan, by, most importantly, I think, student, faculty, 13 staff, administrators, and citizens of Foggy Bottom. 14 15 My friends, that makes up the GW community. 16 Today the student body stands behind the 17 20-year plan. Thank you for taking the time to listen to the GW student body, just as administrators did in 18 19 the past. Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. 21 MS. PARTALO: Hi. Thank you for the opportunity to present testimony in support of 22 23 George Washington University campus plan proposal. name is Sonia Partalo, and I am an alumnus of GW. 24 Ι

also subsequent to graduating deciding to stay in D.C.

and live in Foggy Bottom because it's a great neighborhood to live in.

I lived in Foggy Bottom for four years. I have moved six months ago, when I purchased a home in Ward One. Thus, I experienced life as both a student and a community resident, which are two very distinct things if you live in Foggy Bottom.

I am very pleased to see that the university campus plan proposal would greatly benefit the community and the District of Columbia as well as the student body.

Having lived among students as a young professional, I know the importance of ensuring a balance of student housing. The university has recently implemented several initiatives to improve the quality of life for community residents.

For example, the university has created an Office of Off-Campus Student Affairs to work with students to promote healthy citizenship as District residents. Further, the university has already created and outreach phone service for residents to call if they're having a difficulty with a student neighbor, which has been a great tool for folks who live in Foggy Bottom, such as myself. I've called it before. These things, combined with the campus plan that

NEAL R. GROSS

brings real amenities to the neighborhood, mark significant progress.

One of the reasons why I moved out of Foggy Bottom is because it is quite difficult to live there having access to one grocery store and not a whole lot of retail. If you live in the District, you know that certain neighborhoods have plenty of that. And it was a significant factor for me to consider when I decided to move out.

Specifically to address the plan, the plan reflects that GW acknowledges and is committed to addressing the demand for on-campus student housing and to comply with the existing campus plan housing commission, which goes into effect this fall.

Since '99, it's important to note, GW has added nearly 2,500 beds on campus, including the identification of additional housing capacity and existing residence halls and completion of the Potomac House residence hall, which provides 379 on-campus beds for undergrads.

The addition of these on-campus facilities over the term of the plan would result in a bed inventory that accommodates GW's projected student housing needs and exceeds the campus plan housing requirement.

NEAL R. GROSS

	119
1	Furthermore, one of the 25 proposed
2	conditions included in the campus plan is an agreement
3	by GW to transition youths of off-campus facilities
4	located outside the Foggy Bottom campus boundaries for
5	other than undergraduate student housing youths. This
6	would not only benefit the community but would also
7	provide an opportunity for those students who desired
8	to live off of GW's main campus.
9	In addition, this plan would accomplish
10	the following, the three points that I thought were
11	important for somebody who is an undergrad and then
12	decides to stay and live in Foggy Bottom.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You are going to need to summarize because you are about out.

MS. PARTALO: Yes. Concentrates university development in a targeted location in the core of Foggy Bottom campus so it doesn't produce this Foggy Bottom sprawl, provides neighborhood-serving retail services, and enhances the public environment to improve landscape. If any of you have passed by, you know that square 54 looks pretty ugly right now and is on Pennsylvania Avenue. And it should be used to create something that is quite beautiful.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Questions from the Commission for the
2	panel? Any questions? Any questions? Ms. Dwyer?
3	MR. DWYER: None.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?
5	MR. HITCHCOCK: No questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Kahlow?
7	MS. KAHLOW: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: There's room down
9	there at the end. CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	MS. KAHLOW: Ms. Connelly, where do you
11	live?
12	MS. CONNELLY: On the corner of 26th and
13	Pennsylvania, 2555 Pennsylvania.
14	MS. KAHLOW: The Westbridge. Do you have
15	a car?
16	MS. CONNELLY: I do not.
17	MS. KAHLOW: Mr. Thorpe, where do you
18	live?
19	MR. THORPE: In the library.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. THORPE: I live on New Hampshire
22	Avenue in the Asten.
23	MS. KAHLOW: In the Asten. Do you have a
24	car?
25	MR. THORPE: I do. I use it for military
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	purposes.
2	MS. KAHLOW: Where do you park it?
3	MR. THORPE: In the Asten.
4	MS. KAHLOW: Is that a GW parking lot?
5	MR. THORPE: Yes, it is.
6	MS. KAHLOW: Where is it licensed?
7	MR. THORPE: Connecticut.
8	MS. KAHLOW: Are you aware of any
9	under-age drinking since you are president of the
10	student body within the Foggy Bottom-West End area?
11	MR. THORPE: I am not, no. I am over I
12	am 25. So I wouldn't be engaging in
13	MS. KAHLOW: I understand that you are.
14	But as president of the student body, are you aware of
15	anyone underage that drinks in the Foggy Bottom-West
16	End area?
17	MR. THORPE: No. My concern is campus
18	life. And that's a responsibility of the
19	administration.
20	MS. KAHLOW: Are you aware of any students
21	that have fake IDs?
22	MR. THORPE: No. Again, that's a
23	responsibility of the administration.
24	MS. KAHLOW: Thank you.
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thanks to the panel.

1	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
2	excused.)
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Dwan Tai, T-a-i;
4	Nicole Capp; Emily Brooks; Kevin Kozlowski. How about
5	Brand Kroeger? Okay. We'll go with the panel that we
6	have. Whereupon, NICOLE CAPP, EMILY BROOKS,
7	DWAN TAI, KEVIN KOZLOWSKI, and BRAND KROEGER
8	were called as persons in support and, having been
9	previously duly sworn, were examined and testified as
LO	follows:
L1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Start on this side
L2	and we'll work our way down, if you don't mind.
L3	MS. CAPP: Good evening. My name is
L4	Nicole Capp. And I am a GW sophomore, undergraduate
L5	living at Ivory Tower. I have read the entire campus
L6	plan, attended many community meetings last year, and
L7	also have attended all of the hearings so far.
L8	I remember one of Vice-Chair Hood's
L9	initial questions, which I found very important and
20	something we must not forget, why are we here? For
21	me, it is to pass a new campus plan, to capitalize on
22	our unique opportunity to better this District,
23	consisting of residents, professionals, students, and
24	visitors alike.

With that, I must say it is with great

excitement and enthusiasm that I greet the D.C. Zoning Commission in an effort to convey my extreme and very much justifiable support for the 2006-2025 GW campus plan.

Since the spring semester of last year, I have been an active member in a grass roots efforts made by both students and university leaders to inform our GW community of the proposed changes included in the new campus plan.

As I trust it is apparent to you that GW has worked with the community on revising and modifying the campus plan, it may not be so apparent how GW has incorporated students in the process directly.

I would like to highlight how GW has not just blindly created a campus plan without considering outside sources, as, unfortunately, has been implied by some community-based interest groups.

Information sessions led by esteemed and informed leaders of this university, including our very own executive vice president and treasurer Lou Katz, Alicia O'Neill, Sherry Rutherford, and John Rawls, enable students to learn of the plan's intricacies.

Questions, concerns, suggestions, and

NEAL R. GROSS

feedback flowed from students across campus. And the university in conjunction with student leaders responded quickly. Α mass survey on square development and the campus plan was instituted online. And the opportunity for students to voice their ideas was welcomed.

Forums and the survey in conjunction with intimate meetings between student leaders and the Office of Planning resulted in what I consider an excellent and notable collaboration between students and the administration.

As an example, you will see in the campus plan there was a proposed new addition to the existing School Without Walls facility. The key in this plan is the contextual dicing and rear courtyard.

The design of the living facilities is all single bedrooms with shared living areas. This type of housing design, in addition to the accessibility through the center the campus provided, was designed in response to the student online survey I spoke of prior. This stands as one of the countless examples of the university working with students.

On the even more personal level, just thinking about my summer, I recount countless e-mails and telephone conferences with the university and

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	other leaders over changes occurring to the campus
2	plan, including the exciting compromises meeting with
3	historical preservation.
4	In addition, we discussed streetscape
5	plans as they were actually being developed. Funny as
6	it may seem, but some of the ideas thrown out over the
7	phone on lighting, brickwork, and benches materialized
8	within the months after they were brought up by a
9	friend of mine, Casey Pawn.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need you to close
11	it out.
12	MS. CAPP: Yes. I have my last sentence,
13	actually. In short, our ideas were heard, considered,
14	and implemented. And for this, I thank the university
15	and hope you, too, recognize and appreciate their role
16	in creating a plan that pulls from the knowledge,
17	concerns, experience, and minds of all members in our
18	District community, especially from my end and what I
19	find is the bulk of what GW is about, its students
20	that will one day contribute to America and the world
21	as a whole. Thank you so much.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That really was just
23	one sentence.
24	(Laughter.)
25	MS. CAPP: That was one sentence, I

1 promise. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: 2 We'll have to talk about punctuation later. 3 4 (Laughter.) 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Go ahead. 6 MS. **BROOKS:** Hi. Good evening, My name is Emily Brooks. 7 commissioners. And I am actually a freshman at the university. So I come 8 9 taking kind of a new spin to this. 10 I am from Chattanooga, Tennessee and am involved with the freshman class 11 and 12 connected and kind of come in in sort 13 representative fashion as well because I feel very connected to them. 14 I am going to be speaking a little bit 15 16 about the environment of why students come to GW and 17 also the academic undergird of the university and why 18 this campus plan matters to me in maintaining and 19 furthering that academic integrity. 20 21

Being at GW for me has been a dream come I have all the things that I was looking for in my college search and have also access to the tremendous resources that Washington, D.C. offers me.

the perfect Foggy Bottom seems to be location. My internships are just a few Metro stops

NEAL R. GROSS

22

23

24

away at Capitol Hill. And several lobbying law firms on K Street are potential future employers for me.

quote the Princeton Review, probably not surprising that many of the students who choose to attend school three blocks west of the White House and three blocks north of the Vietnam War Memorial are politically active, like Ι me. So believe that it is my responsibility as an activist, a student, and a citizen to be there tonight testifying in front of you. We should continue to capitalize on this amazing institution. And that is what I trying to do.

Like a large majority of my friends here at GW, I have ambitions of becoming an attorney and pursuing public office. Students at GW take advantage of the opportunities that surround them in Foggy Bottom and beyond.

And one of my opportunities, one of my freshman friends already started working at this K Street lobbying firm. And an overwhelming majority of my class say that the number one reason they come to GW here is for the academics and the atmosphere.

Like me, many of my classmates turn down

Ivy League schools like Dartmouth, Georgetown,

Wellesley, and Northwestern to come to school here at

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

GW. And we are incredibly concerned with our education and its name and application to our future goals.

Personally I want to go to Yale. I have a dream of going to Yale Law School. And I know that I can make that happen. And I also, you know, want to be able to return to D.C. and work at a very namely institution, a very namely law firm. And I feel that my undergrad and my graduate degree, my law school degree, are, you know, going to have to matter.

And I feel that this campus plan is taking us from a number 52, which is where we were ranked last year in the Princeton Review and in U.S. News and World Report, up. And I want it to go up. And I can see it going up with my freshman class.

This has been the most selective freshman class yet. Twenty-two thousand applications were received, and 2,000 were accepted. I was one of those. And I am very honored and proud to be here.

So, in conclusion, I just want to say, first of all, before I completely conclude, that there have been a lot of concerns mentioned about campus life and about students that are noisy. I just want to say that there are so many people here that have been representing our Student Association. We can

1 work with those students. And I feel that we can work 2 to get those students under control. 3 In conclusion, I kindly ask you --4 (Laughter.) 5 MS. Ι kindly BROOKS: ask you, commissioners, to consider this, our passion for this 6 7 school and our educational value, when considering this plan. Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. 10 DR. TAI: Good evening. Chairman, Madam Vice-Chairman, 11 Chairman, and members of the 12 Commission, and interested parties. My name is Dr. I am a neighbor of George Washington 13 Dwan Tai. University and a Foggy Bottom resident for 37 years, 14 15 over 37 years. 16 I have studied and taught at GW, having 17 earned my PH.D. there as well. For the last three have been a property owner 18 decades, Ι in the 19 neighborhood. I also work right across from square 54 20 on Pennsylvania Avenue. 21 would like to just highlight three First, my neighbors and I strongly support 22 points. 23 the Foggy Bottom campus plan, which has been developed over the past two years through a very transparent, 24 25 open, and inclusive process.

As a long-time resident of Foggy Bottom, I believe all of us have benefitted from the development of George Washington University as a world-class university. We are all beneficiaries of the hard work and dedication that created this campus plan, which will further enhance both GW and the Foggy Bottom-West End neighborhood.

Second, it is important to development, which includes within square 54 purpose and design living spaces of various sizes that would be made available to the neighborhood groups on a free reservation basis. This is needed because other possible community spaces, like the GW Hospital, West End Library, or the hotels, either charge fees or have very limited access and hours and restrictions on refreshments.

The community deserves and expects a square 54 development that would provide appropriate facilities needed to advance a friendlier and more inclusive community.

Third, it's very important to support square 54 development, which includes in its purpose and design a food court with extra community tables similar to the food court at Georgetown Park Mall, where healthy, reasonably priced food choices would be

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	available and the ambience and hours would be
2	conducive to creating an informal
3	neighborhood-gathering place for conversations and
4	community networking.
5	Such a food court would be a critical part
6	of a dynamic town center. This will respond to a
7	basic need as there is no other food court that would
8	provide such ambience near the Foggy Bottom-GWU Metro
9	station.
10	In summary, my neighbors and I support
11	strongly the proposed Foggy Bottom campus plan. We
12	commend GW for establishing and maintaining an
13	outstanding Office of D.C. and Foggy Bottom-West End
14	Affairs office, which has been very effective in
15	following up on community issues and concerns. I
16	strongly urge support for square 54 development, which
17	would include free meeting spaces as well as
18	community-friend food court.
19	I would be very happy to respond to any
20	questions, having been a resident of 37 years. Thank
21	you very much for your consideration.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Dr. Tai.
23	Mr. Kozlowski?
24	MR. KOZLOWSKI: Good evening. This is,

as Nicole Capp mentioned, also my third meeting.

25

So

it's great to see some preliminary faces here. My name is Kevin Kozlowski. And I am a sophomore at the George Washington University and live in International House, which is a dorm.

While everyone here talks about the campus plan in general, geography is one of my major subjects. So I should focus on something specific, especially when it comes to urban geography. The aspect of the plan I wish to focus on is the creation of new pathways throughout the Foggy Bottom campus.

Anyone who walks through certain parts of West End and Foggy Bottom can attest to the seemingly impermeable impression residential and commercial development imposes upon us as we walk the streets of those neighborhoods.

I believe one of the strengths of this plan is that while it will result in the development of new buildings within GW's campus boundaries, the school also intends on putting its attention on pathways around campus. Instead of walking more than a block out of your way simply to get to a building one street over, these pathways would allow for easy and quick access to any part of the campus.

While no city planner, I also believe we should work to alleviate some of the pedestrian

NEAL R. GROSS

congestion on campus, especially during peak hours of the day between classes.

Many of the pathways at the school intense on enhancing are simply paved-over gaps between buildings or parts of unsightly open air parking space. These revitalized pathways would go a long way in adding to the distinctive landscape, which GW intends to enhance and create on the campus if the plan is approved.

Additionally, there are a number of driveways and parking lots between buildings that students use as makeshift pathways. The campus plan would not only beautify them but also increase safety as certain pathways become more visible and more people are made aware of their existence.

The benefits do not simply stop at the beautification of campus, alleviation of congestion, and increasing in safety. Our Foggy Bottom and West End neighbors would also be able to benefit from these pathways. They can provide our neighbors with easier and cleaner access when they walk to the Metro or the store. Quiet and well-landscaped pathways, after all, would provide a much welcome retreat from the noise and congestion that is D.C.

I realize that the revitalization

NEAL R. GROSS

enhancement and creation of new pathways is not ample enough reason to approve a new campus plan, but it is aspects of the plan such as these pathways, new and improved landscaping in front of buildings, and the all-important Eye Street corridor coupled together with all the other aspects of the campus plan that will make it so worthwhile.

GW will be enhanced as one of the premier research institutions of this country. Imagine a world-class institution right up there with all the Ivy Leagues right here in the District attracting the best and brightest students, not only from the East Coast or this country but from the entire world, for that matter.

This sort of opportunity to improve our city by leaps and bounds does not come around every day. Therefore, all things considered, I ask this Commission to realize all the benefits of the plan and approve it, not only for GW, not only for Foggy Bottom and the West End but for the entire District of Columbia. Thank you for the opportunity to address you tonight.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.

Questions from the Commission? Questions?
Mr. Jeffries?

NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. Well, first of all, I think the university should be very proud. These students are quite, quite impressive. I have to tell you it's quite, I mean, wonderful just to hear, you know, just to hear, you know, so much. And it's been very helpful to me because, again, I feel I'm a new kid on the block as it relates to Foggy Bottom and GW.

I am sort of interested about this whole notion of attracting the best and the brightest and that that is not only something that serves the university but also the District because these students end up staying here and contributing taxes.

I think that's very interesting. I mean, I hadn't really thought about it that way, but does anyone have a -- certainly the university has already put this forward, but it might be interesting to get a sense of the number of students that actually end up staying in the area and given a sense of, you know, those kind of numbers in terms of their contribution to the tax base and so forth, because I think that's a very, I mean, noteworthy benefit.

So, anyway -- and that's not so much to you. I'm just really making a statement, really, to others, but unless you can answer that, oh, wow. Go

NEAL R. GROSS

right ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. TAI: Yes. My understanding is that there are thousands. In fact, I'm a case in point. I came because of George Washington University. And I stayed because of George Washington University. And I think thousands of people do the same.

So, actually, Foggy Bottom community is increasing its population because of GW, because of all the wonderful amenities that they provide, that wonderful lifestyle that you can walk everywhere. You don't need a car. I mean, it's just amazing academic.

One of the commissioners asked about whether neighbors take advantage a lot. They do take a lot of advantage. We're very closely connected. is one of the best places in terms of quality of life in the world because of GW and the amenities and the educational opportunities, the employment It's amazing what they do for the opportunities. Foggy Bottom GWU community.

And I could also address if you wanted to the noise and all the stuff because I've been right on campus for 37 years.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I have a question, though, Dr. Tai. If you're not an academician, you didn't work for a foundation --

NEAL R. GROSS

1 DR. TAI: No. I am in the nonprofit 2 sector and a small business owner. 3 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. 4 DR. TAI: I only taught back in the '70s 5 for a short time. 6 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. 7 So basically because of the TAI: whole situation, I was able to be very actively 8 9 involved in contributing, giving back to the 10 community. For example, we have helped to build the 11 12 largest archway in the world that's at 7th and H. leadership and do a lot of volunteers 13 take the services. And we're small business entrepreneurs. 14 15 That's paying a lot of taxes as well. 16 So, as I said, I know a lot of people that 17 do stay because of GW. And they like to continue while they're working, to go to school and everything. 18 19 And having a university right in the heart 20 everything is extremely important, actually. 21 real wonderful amenity. COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 22 I guess the only 23 point I was going to make is that being from Chicago, I live near the University of Chicago. And one of the 24 25 reasons I was attracted to that area was because of

the University of Chicago. But there's a certain demographic profile of the kind of person who might want to live next to a university versus someone who might not want to take on the benefits that might enure from a university.

So I'm just trying to get a sense. We've had a few -- someone who worked for a foundation, higher education, I mean, different people who have come up who would seem to fit a profile of a type of person who would want to be near a university versus someone who just looked up who moved here years ago and looked up and said, "Wait a minute. This area is really changing dramatically. And this is not quite what I bought into."

DR. TAI: I think you're right in terms of the biggest and the brightest and the most socially conscious people that want to really help build a better world.

If, for example, a person wanted a big family, you know, have a lot of space in the house, they probably couldn't afford to stay, you know, in this area. But the people who are pretty much visionary and they see all the potential impacting the world, they see how much they can contribute to downtown D.C. because you're near everything attending

NEAL R. GROSS

_	all these meetings and everything contributing a lot,
2	they will be the type that want to be here, just like
3	so, therefore, that's the overall picture, I think,
4	people who are really committed to continuing lifelong
5	education.
6	MS. CAPP: And I just wanted to comment a
7	little bit about I'm sorry. No. I totally love
8	everything she is saying, but, Commissioner Jeffries,
9	what you said is what I spoke directly to and exactly
10	what I came before you to talk about today because,
11	like I said, I turned down Wellesley to come here. I
12	turned down and a friend of mine turned down
13	Georgetown to come here. And there are so many kids
14	that dream of going to those schools as well, but this
15	is becoming the hot school.
16	This is becoming the visionary school
17	because this is in the heart of where people want to
18	be after their education. So why not be there now and
19	why not be able to take advantage of those
20	opportunities while you're here?
21	I work on the Hill right now. I mean, I
22	have an internship there. I have a friend
23	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I can imagine.
24	(Laughter.)
25	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: It hasn't been

1	missed by me.
2	MS. CAPP: Okay.
3	DR. TAI: And I left Columbia University
4	to come here to finish my Ph.D. And just exactly it
5	was a smart choice to be right where you want to be
6	the rest of your life. It was a smart choice.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Mr. Turnbull?
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Ms. Capp?
9	MS. CAPP: Yes?
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Contextual design?
11	MS. CAPP: Yes?
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Your parents
13	aren't architectural planners, are they?
14	MS. CAPP: No, but I have read the
15	intricacies of the plan.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh, okay.
17	Students start saying "contextual design."
18	(Laughter.)
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It's hard to get
20	our architects to
21	MS. CAPP: It's one of the elements of the
22	plan that struck me.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Contextual design.
24	My God, you know. It's exciting.
25	MS. CAPP: It's in here.

1	(Laughter.)
2	MS. CAPP: It's in this very large book.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Wow.
4	MS. CAPP: I eventually found it.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: How about
6	sustainable design?
7	MS. CAPP: I'm sorry?
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: How about
9	sustainable design?
10	MS. CAPP: Equally as acceptable, I guess.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So you'll pass
12	(Laughter.)
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: You'll pass that
14	on to the administration?
15	MS. CAPP: I will. Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you.
17	MS. CAPP: No problem.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Ms. Brooks, you
19	don't want to go into law. You want to go into
20	politics. It just isn't right.
21	(Laughter.)
22	MS. BROOKS: They are hand in hand.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I know. Oh, okay.
24	What an exciting group.
25	MS. BROOKS: I'm glad you enjoyed it.
	1

1	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you very
2	much.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We don't usually have
4	this much fun at the Zoning Commission.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MS. BROOKS: We should come more often.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Wait until you see
8	some of the things we deal with, and you might not
9	want to do that.
10	Okay. Ms. Dwyer, any questions?
11	MR. DWYER: No.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?
13	MR. HITCHCOCK: None.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Kahlow?
15	MS. KAHLOW: I would like to ask the three
16	freshmen and sophomores some questions, clearly, about
17	parking. CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	MS. KAHLOW: Let's start with Ms. Capp.
19	MS. CAPP: Yes.
20	MS. KAHLOW: Am I correct?
21	MS. CAPP: Yes, that's correct.
22	MS. KAHLOW: Yes. Thank you.
23	Do you have a car?
24	MS. CAPP: No, I do not.
25	MS. KAHLOW: Okay. Were you told about

1	the restriction for freshmen/sophomores not to bring
2	cars to campus?
3	MS. CAPP: Most definitely, more than
4	once.
5	MS. KAHLOW: How?
6	MS. CAPP: At colonial inauguration, as
7	Josh Lasky noted, in addition to just everywhere on
8	campus, actually, even before I got here. It's
9	freshmen especially. No cars.
10	MS. KAHLOW: Thank you.
11	MS. CAPP: No problem.
12	MS. KAHLOW: Ms. Brooks, do you have a
13	car?
14	MS. BROOKS: No.
15	MS. KAHLOW: Were you told about the
16	restriction?
17	MS. BROOKS: Yes, I was. And I also would
18	like to note that it is \$800 a semester to park your
19	car at GW. So, even if I had that option, I wouldn't
20	take advantage of it, but I heard about it as well at
21	colonial inauguration, which is our formal
22	orientation, to clarify.
23	MS. KAHLOW: And your parents were aware
24	of it?
25	MS. BROOKS: Oh, absolutely.

1	MS. KAHLOW: Thank you.
2	Mr. Kozlowski, do you have a car?
3	MR. KOZLOWSKI: No.
4	MS. KAHLOW: Thank you.
5	And you were told about it also?
6	MR. KOZLOWSKI: Yes, ma'am, also at
7	orientation.
8	MS. KAHLOW: And your parents know about
9	it?
10	MR. KOZLOWSKI: Yeah. We're actually
11	I'm actually from Brooklyn. So my parents really
12	would not want me getting a car because it's kind of
13	difficult even to park when I would go back home.
14	(Laughter.)
15	MS. KAHLOW: Thank you. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Thanks to
17	the panel.
18	MS. CAPP: Thank you so much.
19	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
20	excused.)
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Brand Kroeger,
22	Jasmine Gaskins, Nickey Lane. There's a last name I
23	can't read. So I'm just going to say Stella from St.
24	Mary's Court. Stella from St. Mary's Court? Oh,
25	she's coming. Okay. Okay. How about Thomas Carr?

1	Okay. Whereupon, BRAND KROEGER, JASMINE
2	GASKINS, STELLA PENNEGIOTOU, and THOMAS CARR
3	were called as persons in support and, having been
4	previously duly sworn, were examined and testified as
5	follows:
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We'll start on this
7	end and work our way across the table. Sir? Young
8	man?
9	MR. KROEGER: Thank you very much, Madam
10	Chair.
11	First, before I begin, let me preface my
12	statement by saying thank you to the Commission for
13	your continued civility throughout these proceedings.
14	As we know, there's a lot of tension. And
15	I can only hope that opposing counsel will take a look
16	at your civility and embody that in the rest of these
17	proceedings.
18	But I have had an opportunity
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You are going to tell
20	us who you are, though, right?
21	MR. KROEGER: Yes. My name is Brand
22	Kroeger. I'm from Deston, Florida and live over on
23	Virginia Avenue and 22nd, which is in I House
24	Dormitory you heard earlier.
25	I have had an opportunity to become very

involved with the Foggy Bottom community, specifically through my activities in the church community at St. Stephen's Catholic Church that you see on Pennsylvania and 25th over in the Foggy Bottom community. And being so involved with that community, I have had the ability to meet many residents of the Foggy Bottom area and would just like to share some of the interactions with those residents with the Commission here today.

In meeting these residents, not all of them are opposed. As you have seen, many of them come up here to you today in the Commission. The ANC and the Foggy Bottom Association will put that forth to you and tell you that all of the residents are opposed, but that is definitely not the case.

But those residents that are opposed are simply opposed because it is a university plan. And time and time again, it is an attitude of obstruction. And you have seen it here in this Commission, where intricacies of this plan are being focused on, rather than the plan itself and what we are trying to accomplish as a university.

And this ideology of obstruction is something that I believe every party should look past and look at the true merits of this plan. And if we

NEAL R. GROSS

look at the true merits, you can do nothing but support it.

Now, residents all the time say, "We love the students of this university, but we do not like the university itself." But right there is a fundamental misunderstanding because we are this educational institution. We the students are this educational institution.

And counsel has been using words like "threat" when describing students in the Foggy Bottom area. And if a threat to these residents is traveling to St. Mary's old folks' home over on 24th Street and singing Christmas carols as students to these residents, then I would like to see more threats.

If a senior prom, when we bring all of the old folks in the community to have a great time and celebrate holidays of the new year, if that is a threat, I would like to see more threats. If community day activities in the West End, engaging in youth groups at local churches, if those are threats, then I want to see more.

If engaging in jump-start activities here in the District of Columbia, helping with the School Without Walls that you heard earlier is a threat, then I would love to see more of those threats here in the

NEAL R. GROSS

Foggy Bottom community.

The students of George Washington University overwhelmingly support this plan. And any who oppose this plan not only oppose this institution but oppose the progression of the student body and oppose the progression of George Washington University as an institution.

Thank you for your time. And I urge each and every one of you to support.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr. Kroeger.

MS. GASKINS: Good evening. I am Jasmine Gaskins. And I am, too, a freshman here. Before I get to my argument, I would like to say that the fact that there are actually I think more than just two freshmen -- I think you heard Emily Brooks before -- and we're here about the GW campus plan is just an indication about how good our university is at working with our students. But I am not here to talk about the mechanics of the plan but, rather, the more important issue is the students.

As a prospective young individual who plans on being a politician as well, I came to this university to work within the D.C. area. I am here to stay here, to be a contributor to this community. So

NEAL R. GROSS

1 definitely I've mentioned before I'm not a threat. 2 I'm a contributor. And I will be a taxpayer to this 3 area. But what we need to look at as far as the 4 5 contributions siqn abut the center, it's important in this age of globalization. 6 I'm pretty 7 sure you are all familiar with that. If you look at our economy from '95 to 2006, how the world 8 9 becoming more interconnected, we have outsourcing, that sort of thing. 10 11 So there is an urgent need for 12 technological based professions here in this area. And we definitely need that in the United States in 13 14 order to compete in the world. 15 Yes, natural resources are still verv 16 important, but if you look at how the economy is 17 changing, the resources that are more important now are its people. 18 19 And so definitely we need to invest in a sign center in order to build the other half of GW. 20 21 Yes, we have a very strong international and domestic 22 political program, but we need that other half. We 23 need that technological based program. And so by contributing to this GW campus 24

plan in order to get a new sign center, we're helping

1	not only this local community. We're helping our
2	nation. We're helping our nation to become more
3	prepared to compete in the new style economy.
4	So, to end that up, I think we should just
5	look beyond the mechanics or the ambiance of the area
6	and look at the more important issue. As one man
7	mentioned before, look at the Nelson Mandelas, the
8	future Bill Gates and helping us to better compete in
9	this world.
10	So thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Ms.
12	Gaskins.
13	Ma'am?
14	MS. PENNEGIOTOU: Good evening. My name
15	is Stella Pennegiotou. And I live at St. Mary's Court
16	in Foggy Bottom. I am here tonight to make sure you
17	know how much time and effort GW spent keeping us
18	informed as the plan came together.
19	I can recall at least two presentations on
20	these plans by Michael Akin by GW during the lunchtime
21	program at St. Mary's Court. The lunchtime program is
22	not only open to St. Mary's Court residents but also
23	attracts many other residents from Foggy Bottom.
24	This is a complex plan. And I cannot tell
25	you that I have memorized every detail

	151
1	(Laughter.)
2	MS. PENNEGIOTOU: I thought you all would
3	get a chuckle out of that way.
4	What I can tell you is that GW officials
5	spent a lot of time seeking our suggestions and making
6	sure all of our questions were answered. Thank you.
7	Now I have something to say about my dear
8	friend. My dear friend Dottie Seranko wanted to be
9	here this evening but was unable to attend at the last
10	minute. She asked me to submit her statement for her.
11	You will find it attached. Dottie spent a lot of
12	time on this statement. So I hope you will read it.
13	Many thanks and God bless.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. And we
15	definitely will read it if you submit it for the
16	record.
17	Mr. Carr?
18	MR. CARR: Madam Chair and members of the
19	Commission, thank you for holding this hearing and
20	providing me an opportunity to present testimony on
21	GW's proposed Foggy Bottom campus plan.
22	My name is Thomas Carr. I have been a
23	resident of the District and Foggy Bottom since 1999

I have since retired.

federal service I

when while

Miami, Florida.

in

24

25

from

transferred

I am also a GW alumnus, having completed two advanced degree programs since transferring to the location District. The of the Foggy neighborhood and GW's unique campus in major metropolitan area, their relationship to the central business district, and the government institutions in the District, and mass transportation permitted me to complete that feat while having a full professional, personal, and academic life.

A lot can be accomplished when most of your professional, personal, and academic needs are within a ten-block walking distance and only one or two Metro stops away.

To permit others the same flexibility, the residential character of Foggy Bottom and the West End neighborhoods must retained, reinforced, be expanded to remain vibrant. To retain the unique of Bottom and the West End character Foggy neighborhoods, at the same time the GW University must remain a vibrant, modern, world-class institution that is in step with the nation and the world.

For these reasons and many others I do not have time to expand upon, I support the Commission's approval for the campus plan and the mixed-use town center on square 54.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	The "grow up, not out" approach will
2	prevent encroachment into the Foggy Bottom and West
3	End neighborhoods and the reduction of the tax base
4	and the residential housing needs for other
5	institutions in the District, at the same time
6	provides the university with the space it needs to
7	meet its institutional needs.
8	The town center will provide desperately
9	needed amenities to support the vibrant residential
10	lifestyle in the Foggy Bottom, West End neighborhoods.
11	Besides being of benefit to the university and the
12	local neighborhoods, the approval of these proposals
13	would be of benefit to the District for they will
14	enhance the vibrant cluster that is Foggy Bottom, West
15	End, and GWU that will stimulate and sustain growth in
16	the surrounding neighborhoods and communities and
17	enhance and expand the District's tax base and sustain
18	and promote a world-class university in the District.
19	That ends.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
21	Questions from the Commission for the
22	panel? Any questions? Mr. Hood?
23	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Madam Chair, I
24	just wanted to ask Mr. Kroeger and Ms. Gaskins. I

really appreciate students being involved with the

1	process. I think my hat is off to you, as my colleague
2	said earlier. I was trying to figure out who I was
3	going to ask this question to, but I am going to ask
4	you two.
5	First of all, how did you find out about
6	this hearing? And were you asked to come down and
7	testify?
8	MS. GASKINS: Well, I found out I'm a
9	type of person that I get involved in a lot of things
10	anyway. But it was very open. I can't remember
11	exactly how I found out, but it came to me and I came
12	to it kind of.
13	But I'm a free thinker. I think for
14	myself. But when I come here to speak, it's my
15	personal opinion. And no one told me to come here and
16	speak. It is what I feel and how I analyze the
17	situation and what I thought was important.
18	So if you're asking, I wasn't encouraged
19	to come here simply by administrators or anything like
20	that. I came here on my own free will and the benefits
21	that I saw that this plan provides.
22	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I must be a good
23	judge of character because you told me exactly that
24	II

1	MR. KROEGER: Well, Commissioner Hood, I
2	serve as Assistant Vice President of Student
3	Activities with the Student Association as well as the
4	Vice Chairman of our College Republicans.
5	I know that you all are probably very warm
6	to Republicans in this room.
7	(Laughter.)
8	MR. KROEGER: But anyway
9	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Turn the mike off.
10	MR. KROEGER: Through those activities
11	and this plan has bipartisan support, may I add.
12	(Laughter.)
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It must be getting
14	late.
15	MR. KROEGER: Anyway, through my
16	activities on campus, the administration as well as
17	some of your student leaders, whom you have already
18	heard from here today, have done a great job of
19	getting the word out. And at those meetings, whether
20	it was College Republicans, Colonials for Life,
21	Intervarsity Christian Fellowship, whatever it was,
22	the students knew about it.
23	And I chose to come to the first hearing
24	that we had here today and had no intention of
25	speaking to the Commission. And after hearing the

1	testimony and learning sort of the motives benind a
2	lot of the opposition that is the Foggy Bottom
3	Association and the ANC, I decided to speak today to
4	voice my wholehearted support for this campus plan.
5	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I thank you
6	both. Thanks. Thank you, Madam Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Any other questions
8	from the Commission? Any questions? Ms. Dwyer?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?
11	MR. HITCHCOCK: No questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Kahlow?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Great. Thank
15	you very much.
16	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
17	excused.)
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anne D'Guilio, Ben
19	Hymen, Paul Brooks, Craig I'm not going to
20	pronounce the last name right K-u-p-i-e-c, Maggie
21	Desmond. Whereupon, ANNE DiGIULIO, PAUL BROOKS,
22	CRAIG KUPIEC, and MAGGIE DESMOND
23	were called as persons in support and, having been
24	previously duly sworn, were examined and testified as
25	follows:

157 1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. We're going to 2 start here on my left. And we'll work our way down So please go ahead. 3 the table. MS. DESMOND: 4 Good evening. My name is 5 Maggie Desmond. And I am here to speak to you tonight 6 to express my support for the 2006-2025 GW campus 7 plan.

As a current undergraduate student at the George Washington University, my affection for the GW campus, for the Foggy Bottom community, and for the District of Columbia on the whole has grown wholeheartedly over the past two years.

Ι have spent the past two summers welcoming students and their families to our community freshman orientation leader as the for colonial inauguration, which has been mentioned a couple of times tonight. And it is through this experience that I have truly come to value the distance GW has come and the places we aim to go.

While I believe our primary goal is to sustain and promote a world-class university whose influence and accomplishments are accredited pride in worldwide, Ι take the fact that the development strategy of the campus plan seeks to do so within limited boundaries and with respect to our

NEAL R. GROSS

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Foggy Bottom neighbors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My orientation experience has provided me with a unique opportunity to meet incoming students and their families from all 50 states and countries all over the world. Consequently, it has also provided me with a unique perspective on the way the GW community is seen in and of our location in Foggy Bottom and D.C.

Our facilities enhanced are by our relationship with those around us, is our education. Our opportunities are enhanced by the community we live in, as is our experience.

Past campus plans have utilized the spaces within the Foggy Bottom campus to the best of their ability. And my fellow students and I are benefitting from those changes today.

state-of-the-art We classes in take facilities, such the Elliott School of as International Affairs Building or the new home of the GW School of Business in Duquesne Hall. We eat and meet in common spaces of the Marvin Center, which services students and community members as well.

We live in on-campus residence halls that meet the needs of students and comply with provisions of the existing Foggy Bottom campus plan. As a

NEAL R. GROSS

current GW student, it is clear to me now how important the proposed changes in the campus plan will be to the future of the university and its students.

The changes I am referring to include intentions accommodate to student and community space; for on-campus student housing provide the mutually beneficial mixed-use commercial development of 54; offer square to non-enrollment-driven and retail revenue opportunities; to maintain attractive, an yet functional campus environment; and to further improve university classrooms and laboratories; to facilitate an enhanced educational experience. I only hope that future GW students will be able to benefit from those proposed changes in the future as I am benefitting from past changes today.

Change is natural and inevitable. In a vibrant neighborhood in a dynamic city, one can only expect transformations to take place to meet the needs of our university and our community. The question is whether we are going to plan for them in the long run or not. The institution of a 20-year plan allows for predictable and planned changes, ones that have been discussed and reviewed by all parties involved.

For the joint benefit of GW students,

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Foggy Bottom neighbors, and D.C. residents, I offer my support for the GW campus plan and appreciate your time.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.

MS. DiGIULIO: Hello. My name is Anne DiGiulio. And I am the Community Service Coordinator for the George Washington University Residence Hall Association. And tonight I am testifying on behalf of the George Washington University Residence Hall Association.

As a student living on campus and as a member of RHA, I see the need for undergraduate housing. The 20-year campus plan addresses this need among others. The proposed renovation and connection of the Shenley, Crawford, and West End is a really exciting idea.

This project will bring many students to the heart of campus. The site is surrounded by the Academic Center, Marvin Center, and Kogan Plaza. It is also close to other main academic buildings and the Foggy Bottom Metro stop, putting it in the center of campus. This proposed residence hall brings students to the academic and social center of campus and embodies the "grow up, not out" philosophy.

Another important and exciting idea is the

NEAL R. GROSS

School Without Walls project. The residence hall proposed for this site would be unique to GW. Housing approximately 474 students, this residence hall would consist of quads, giving each student a separate bedroom with shared living area and kitchen. The additional beds are much needed. And this type of unique situation would attract upperclassmen, which would otherwise live in off-campus non-university housing apartments.

GW is no longer going to house undergrads in off-campus facilities. This initiative is called for by many community members, but it will also benefit the students individually, the university, and the student body as a whole. By closing HOVA, the Asten, and City Hall to undergrads, the students that would be housed in these there are being brought back to campus. This will encourage more interaction between students and, thus, a more unified student body.

By allowing the student body new housing style options, they will find a living situation that fits their needs on campus, rather than having to go off campus for housing. This diversity of housing allows students to have many options and experiences throughout their undergraduate careers.

NEAL R. GROSS

As freshmen, students can experience the traditional dorm life. However, sophomores and upperclassmen would prefer more apartment-style living. And with this plan, GW will provide the needs of its students.

Washington, D.C. is a great city. And it is very accessible to us as students. Our campus has two Metro stops and is walking distance to many popular attractions. But we are a unique school because, even though we are in the center of a large city, GW still has a distinct campus and it is good for the student population to be living on campus and taking advantage of what campus life has to offer. By housing undergraduates on campus, we can interact more with students and create a community and network with one another.

That being said, the university is not in a bubble. One of my favorite parts of my position on the Residence Hall Association is that I get to interact with community members.

Every Sunday our organization goes grocery shopping for senior citizens. This gives us the opportunity to meet and interact with community members on a regular basis.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need your closing

NEAL R. GROSS

thought.

MS. DiGIULIO: Okay. Because the plan is a very good plan, we support the campus plan, then. We enjoy it, enjoy the community.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.

MR. KUPIEC: Good evening. My name is Craig Kupiec, and I am a senior at the George Washington University speaking to express my complete support for the Foggy Bottom campus plan filed by GW. My reasons are as follows.

I am a political science major with a chemistry minor and premedicine focus. And I have used Corcoran Hall, which is the chemistry, physics, and forensics building throughout my studies at GW. I have had both classes and labs in this facility.

GW's desired renovations, in addition to the new science facilities, would greatly enhance students' understanding and knowledge base for future career goals.

Our medical school is one of the best in the country for bioterrorism research. Yet, on the exact same campus, undergraduate science facilities are not currently able to compete with other programs around the country. This is an essential part to the campus plan.

NEAL R. GROSS

I am a senior living in on-campus housing, which is imperative to my studies and internship opportunities. On many college campuses around country, it is convenient and desired for upperclassmen to live off campus. This is simply not the case at GW.

Living on campus provides students with the convenience of living close to the Metro and academic facilities and is equally as cost-efficient as living in off-campus housing.

GW provides wonderful housing in close proximity to internships and co-ops. And the benefit of living on campus is invaluable. The housing aspects of the campus plan are fundamental to the future and success of a growing GW.

Like most students may have stated, I cannot express enough value to the goals of this campus plan that will provide for alumni of GW. New academic facilities, more desirable housing opportunities, and a more attractive campus are all facets of a demand for attending any university.

This campus plan not only attempts to achieve these aims but supersede them and provide a premier academic, professional, and personal student experience for alumni, which will forever be indebted.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	The Zoning Commission's support is
2	absolutely necessary to make all of these goals a
3	reality. You are not only investing in the future of
4	our community, Foggy Bottom, and the rest of the
5	District of Columbia but also the careers and future
6	of GW's students and members of this community.
7	I appreciate your consideration of support
8	for the complete campus plan and its approval.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr.
10	Kupiec.
11	Mr. Brooks?
12	MR. BROOKS: Good evening. Madam
13	Chairperson and members of the Zoning Commission, I am
14	Paul Brooks. And I am before you this evening to
15	testify in support of the proposed campus plan of
16	George Washington University for its Foggy Bottom
17	campus.
18	I'm not a resident of Foggy Bottom, but I
19	was born in D.C. I've lived in D.C. for 30 years
20	during my lifetime. I'm a graduate of grade schools;
21	high schools; and four universities in the District of
22	Columbia, including George Washington University Law
23	School.
24	And during my legal career, I've worked in
25	the Foggy Bottom area for over 15 years. I've also

had the opportunity to serve as counsel to Catholic University's president for its government and community relations work.

The two areas that I wish to address this evening are simply issues of process and procedure. The first has to do with the inclusion area priorities employed by GW to ensure participation by all cooperating neighbors and other interested persons and organizations. And the second has to do with the concept of partnership in land use regulation and planning.

GW has stepped up and vigorously engaged public and private persons and groups interested in its campus plan. GW has been proactive in engaging the public in a wide array of media and forums with respect to planning goals and strategies.

It is difficult, if not impossible, to find fault with the diligence and determination of GW in reaching all possible segments of the community. GW has permitted a wide range of formal and informal opportunities and flexible mechanisms for interested parties to become personally acquainted and involved in the planning process.

For my personal knowledge -- and I have attended at least three of the public sessions held by

NEAL R. GROSS

I	167
	George Washington University what is noteworthy is
	there simply wasn't one part of the university
	involved in these open forums. There were people from
	finance, people from the Legal Division, people from
	facilities, people from design, as well as their
	government-community relations staff.
	In all segments of government and
	business, a basic measurement for accountability is
	the level of transparency. Despite differences in
	nevernestives viermeints and nevernel professorace it

the level of transparency. Despite differences in perspectives, viewpoints, and personal preferences, it is evidenced that the openness and candor encouraged by GW in its interaction with all parties leaves no

doubt as to its credibility and completeness.

The second area has to do with the common ground that has been achieved by all the parties in the campus-planning process. It is necessary to determine whether GW has been a true partner with its Foggy Bottom residents and other interested public and private parties. And GW should be held accountable to deal with potential problems, which might take place because of contemplated activities.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need your closing thought, but we will read your statement.

MR. BROOKS: Okay. Absolutely.

However, it is unfair to saddle George

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Washington or any other university for resolving
2	matters of public responsibility deemed to be
3	important to citizens, to certain citizens, and
4	groups.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
7	Question from the Commission for the
8	panel? Any questions? Mr. Jeffries?
9	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I have a question
10	for Mr. Brooks. You served as counsel for the
11	president for government and community activities for
12	Catholic University.
13	MR. BROOKS: I'm not there now. Yes. In
14	the past.
15	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I know you're no
16	longer there now. Can you just give me some sort of
17	if you can make a comparison? I mean, I know it's
18	two different universities
19	MR. BROOKS: Absolutely.
20	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: but in terms of
21	sort of the communication and outreach between the
22	Catholic University and the surrounding community.
23	And if you can just compare that to what your
24	experience has been at GW?
25	MR. BROOKS: What I have found so

fascinating, particularly when I was at Catholic University, since I grew up in that neighborhood was the diversity within ANCs. It is incredible the complexity of different considerations, motions, historical resentments that come into play.

I have found that I like to feel that Catholic University has done a wonderful job in its work, but at the same time I must say that GW has not only contributed the types of resources needed, but there's really been the institutional sweat equity to become involved.

And, really, I think what is important is George Washington University as well as Catholic University, it's a university without walls. And when we talk about space, we're not only talking about open space. We're talking about social space.

And, on the one hand, while we have problems and concerns about intensity of use and density, wouldn't it be terrible if there weren't that intensity of use of these facilities, particularly by the local community?

So often the universities are criticized for not being open and attracting people from all segments of the community to their campuses. Well, George Washington University is as open as I think it

NEAL R. GROSS

1	could be deemed to be reasonable in terms of
2	accessibility to everyone in the community,
3	particularly with the Metro station there and the fact
4	that so many of the resources are made available to so
5	many different parts of the community throughout the
6	city.
7	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Just comparing it
8	back to Catholic University, I mean, would you find
9	that GW has a higher level of sort of outreach than
10	Catholic or just about the same or is it
11	MR. BROOKS: It really is a different
12	type. And one of it has to do with, frankly, I think
13	that maybe at Catholic University, there is a little
14	bit more scarcity of resources to do things in certain
15	respects.
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay.
17	MR. BROOKS: But I know that this year the
18	annual report for Catholic University just issued, the
19	entire report is on community service. And I think
20	one thing that I am very sensitive to having gone to
21	an inner city high school a few blocks from here is
22	the fact that the educational institutions are so
23	committed to service.
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else? Ms.

Dwyer? Mr. Hitchcock? Ms. Kahlow?

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you all
3	very much.
4	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. Thank you.
5	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
6	excused.)
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Kara Williams, Ryan
8	Davis, Christine I'm going to say it Caggaino.
9	MS. CAGGAINO: Close.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. You'll correct
11	me when you get up. Steve Timlin. Whereupon,
12	RYAN DAVIS, KARA WILLIAMS, CHRISTINE
13	CAGGAINO, and STEPHEN TIMLIN were called as persons in
14	support and, having been previously duly sworn, were
15	examined and testified as follows:
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. On the end,
17	I'm guessing you're Mr. Davis? Okay. Would you start
18	and turn on the mike? There you go. Great.
19	MR. DAVIS: Madam Chairperson, Commission,
20	my name is Ryan Davis. I am a senior at the George
21	Washington University and a member of the United
22	States Air Force ROTC detachment at Howard University.
23	I would like to testify tonight in support
24	of GW's proposed Foggy Bottom campus plan. What I
25	would like to highlight about this plan is both the

current student population and the Foggy Bottom-West End community involvement in its development.

GW has included in this plan 25 conditions, which maintain all conditions previously set in the existing campus plan as well as additional ones. These include eliminating undergraduate student housing in off-campus facilities and a commitment not to buy land residentially zoned for non-investment purposes.

These conditions do two things. One, it responds to issues raised by the community; and, two, helps develop a further sense of community on GW's campus as all students will reside in the campus formalized borders.

The plan also calls for the preservation of open spaces and important campus historic buildings. An example of this is the addition of open public space in the square 54 plan.

GW has held numerous information sessions to educate both the student body and the community at large about the 2006-2025 campus plan. In the Spring of '06, GW conducted very well-received dorm storms, sending administration officials into GW residence halls in order to educate the student population on its plan.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	The university also held a series of open
2	community meetings to identify issues and gather
3	suggestions for the campus plan. There was also the
4	three-day Urban Land Institute panel for community
5	members to give suggestions for the specific develop
6	of square 54.
7	As GW is a member of the Foggy Bottom
8	community, it must maintain a working relationship
9	with the other members of that community. I believe
10	GW has done that.
11	This plan and future ones like it must not
12	be seen as GW versus Foggy Bottom residents but,
13	rather, GW working with Foggy Bottom residents to
14	contribute to the community as a whole while
15	continuing to maintain GW's status as a world-class
16	institution.
17	Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
19	Ms. Williams?
20	MS. WILLIAMS: Hi. My name is Kara
21	Williams. I am a junior at GW. I am going to focus
22	more on community in my little statement here because
23	while I am very aware of the plan, I think that that
24	is something that must be emphasized. That is

definitely how I am more a part of this process here.

When I first stepped onto GW's campus in 2004, it was obvious that I was not just stepping onto my university campus but that I was stepping into the District of Columbia.

When I went to get my groceries, I passed by the Foggy Bottom Historic District. When I walked to class, I walk past the World Bank. When I grabbed a bite from J Street and our Marvin Center, I walked with students from the School Without Walls during their lunch break. GW opened its arms to me, but it didn't confine me. Instead, I was encouraged to branch out and explore GW's extended community.

I became very involved in one of GW's chartered student organizations, the Residence Association. Ι served on its executive board Community Service Coordinator. heard You Anne DiGiulio is currently the Community Service Coordinator.

It was with this position that I began volunteering at St. Mary's Court every Sunday running errands for residents to and from St. Mary's Court and the Watergate.

I was also introduced to the Friends community group. Friends in the Residence Hall Association collaborated on a holiday toiletry drive

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

last november and December. And it is these kinds of activities that allowed me to get involved not only on campus but within the Foggy Bottom community as well.

I can definitely say I don't just look at this plan for GW's benefit but also for the benefit of my new-found neighbors and friends.

Of course, I see this as a good plan aside from the most immediate benefits of almost quaranteeing housing future GWU students to separately, though mixed-use commercial redevelopment of square 54 provides a universal benefit. students just want benefit from the grocery store, but so will D.C.

I don't need to say that there are areas of D.C. where grocery stores aren't available. And I think that GW sets an admirable example when it steps up and provides a better option for satisfying some part of D.C. residents' nutritional needs.

GW has acted responsibly in every step of their planning. All plans have been made accessible to the wider GW and Foggy Bottom communities. I know that I felt I played an active role in understanding the need of GW and at the same time the need of Foggy Bottom residents.

It's clear that GW views this as a two-way

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	street, and it's not done listening to residents'
2	concerns. I am excited to see the progression of this
3	project and the great benefits it will bring my
4	community, my new community.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Now
6	you're going to tell me how to pronounce your name.
7	MS. CAGGAINO: It's Caggaino.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Caggaino. Okay.
9	Sorry.
LO	MS. CAGGAINO: We skip a couple of
L1	letters.
L2	Good evening. My name is Christine
L3	Caggaino. I graduated from GW in May of 2006 and made
L4	the active decision to make Foggy Bottom my home as a
L5	young professional.
L6	While I was a student, I undertook an
L7	independent study in urban development focused on this
L8	very plan. From an academic standpoint, I examined the
L9	goals and effectiveness of the community-based
20	planning process. At the end of this process, it
21	became abundantly clear that this plan presented a
22	win-win situation for the university, the
23	neighborhood, and the District of Columbia.
24	Members of the ANC, the Foggy Bottom
25	community, and the Office of Planning have publicly

extolled the virtues of this plan as evidenced in the Foggy Bottom current articles that I have attached to my testimony and which I hope you will all take the time read.

This plan addresses the university's need to grow and expand its academic facilities and its housing needs while not further encroaching on the historic neighborhood that becomes a valuable asset.

Residents like Jim Morris, ANC an commissioner, are quoted as saying that, on balance, university plan this is advantageous to the neighborhood overall. The idea of growing up, not out represents a willingness on GW's part to be a more fabric member of the of the District Columbia but concentrating its students in one core area.

Additionally, this plan incorporates the ideals of smart urban growth, transit-oriented development, and environmentally friendly design. Furthermore, this plan represents a concerted effort on the part of the university to open a dialogue with its residents.

In the second article attached, Travis
Parker, who is a development review specialist for the
Office of Planning, explains the road to all of these

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_	lileecings tast summer and concruded the result appears
2	to meet the needs of all sides.
3	GW understands that it cannot erase the
4	mistakes of the past and can only work together with
5	the neighborhood and with the city towards a better
6	future for Foggy Bottom and West End on the whole.
7	It needs to be acknowledged that this plan
8	is by no means perfect for any single stakeholder.
9	Rather, it is a solid compromise never before seen in
0	GW's community relations. It effectively addresses
L1	the issues in the community as defined by neighbors
.2	themselves. Again, to quote Mr. Parker, this is a
.3	plan that will make the university an increasingly
_4	positive part of the Foggy Bottom-West End community.
.5	Thank you very much. Have a good night.
-6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
-7	Mr. Timlin?
-8	MR. TIMLIN: Commissioners, I am Stephen
9	Timlin. I live at 842 New Hampshire Avenue, Northwest
20	at the corner of Eye Street in the Foggy Bottom
21	historic district.
22	I have owned and occupied my house for
23	more than 20 years. It is located one block from the
24	George Washington University medical school and the
25	Metro elevator on the Eye Street mall.

1 I am aware that some of my neighbors are 2 against the 20-year campus plan because they believe the university is out of compliance with the current 3 4 campus plan. I feel this is a separate matter and not 5 a part of the matter before you. 6 like the new campus plan because of 7 factors. I like the plan for several а corridor along Eye Street, to include a large grocery 8 9 store and additional retail businesses in square 54. I have no objection to the university's using square 10 54 as a cash cow to produce income for its needs, 11 12 particularly for a new science center. like the plan for the university's 13 housing most of the students in new and existing dorms 14 15 the middle of the campus, instead of 16 surrounding neighborhood. 17 This semester there is far less student noise outside my house on Thursday, 18 Friday, 19 Saturday nights between midnight and 3:30 A.M. since the freshmen have been moved out of the hall 20 21 Virginia Avenue. 22 I am surprised that the proposed campus 23 plan includes a historic district as favored by the Planning and the Historic Preservation 24 Office of

I think this is an unnecessary burden on the

Office.

	180
1	university.
2	Since the university has already destroyed
3	so much of Foggy Bottom since it arrived in 1912, I
4	think the university should be allowed to destroy
5	anything still left within its campus boundaries.
6	I am very glad to have the university's
7	Office of District of Columbia and Foggy Bottom-West
8	End Affairs available to me. I have met by
9	appointment with Bernard Demschuck, and I have met by
10	appointment with Michael Akin at their offices to
11	discuss matters of importance to me. I have been
12	entirely satisfied with their concern, their interest,
13	and their responses to my inquiries, including
14	discussion of aspects of the proposed 20-year campus
15	plan.
16	I urge you to approve the proposed 20-year
17	campus plan. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
19	Questions from the Commission? Does
20	anyone have questions? Mr. Turnbull?
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Timlin, you're
22	the one that came up with a radical solution for the

MR. TIMLIN: Yes? My comment?

with allowing them

NEAL R. GROSS

23

24

25

university there

everything within their boundaries.

destroy

1 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I don't know what 2 my question would be on that. (Laughter.) 3 MR. TIMLIN: I could respond, if I may? 4 5 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Please do. 6 MR. TIMLIN: Something that I have said to 7 my neighbors over the years is that I don't much care what GW does on the other side of 24th Street; that 8 9 is, east of 24th Street, although in recent years, I 10 was strongly interested in their purchasing the Inn at Foggy Bottom in the middle of my block, now the George 11 12 Washington University Inn. 13 very interested in their And Ι was 14 acquiring the building at 1957 E Street because I knew 15 at the time they were jumping the campus boundaries. 16 And if they jumped the campus boundaries 17 direction, thev may do it in want to another direction. 18 19 So I don't care what they do within their 20 campus boundaries. I know they have requirements to 21 educate their students. I know they have to have 22 funding and it can't all come from the tuition that 23 the students pay. 24 But, as far as I am concerned, their 25 campus is their territory. And they can do what they

1	want with it. And if they can afford to do it and
2	squeeze more money out of their students along the
3	way, let them go ahead and do it.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Wow. I would like
5	to get a tour of your house when you gut it. It would
6	be interesting. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hood, did you
8	have a question?
9	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. I just want
10	to ask Ms. Caggaino, on the second page I believe
11	this is in Northwest Current.
12	MS. CAGGAINO: The Foggy Bottom Current.
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, the Foggy
14	Bottom Current?
15	MS. CAGGAINO: Yes. It's in there.
16	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's interesting.
17	It says, "Tomorrow evening a fight that has been
18	openly forming in Foggy Bottom for almost two years
19	will officially break out in front of the District
20	Zoning Commission." I must have missed that night
21	because I haven't seen any fights.
22	MS. CAGGAINO: You know, it's a lot calmer
23	than I would have expected.
24	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. And I think
25	that says a lot about the issue that we said at the

1	last hearing. You know, it's about coming to the
2	table and moving forward. But if this is do we
3	have the person here who writes for the what is it,
4	Foggy Bottom?
5	MS. CAGGAINO: Yes.
6	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Is that person
7	here?
8	MS. CAGGAINO: The article is written by
9	Julie
10	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I won't say
11	anything.
12	MS. CAGGAINO: I don't know.
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I won't say
14	anything. Okay. Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Dwyer, any
16	questions?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?
19	MR. HITCHCOCK: No questions.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Kahlow?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Excuse me, Madam
24	Chair. I have a question.
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, did you have a
	NEAL R. GROSS

question? Oh, sorry.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Mr. Timlin, I'm very curious about this whole business of sort of unnecessary burden in terms of historic district. What do you suppose could perhaps happen in another ten years given that the university has this historic district that someone might consider, you might consider, to be an encumbrance?

I mean, is it possible that because they have this historic district, that they might have to expand or do something else in another 10 or 15 years?

I mean, what do you think are the repercussions of having such a district that you consider to be burdensome?

MR. TIMLIN: I live in an historic district. And I appreciate living in an historic district. And my feeling has been that with an historic district proposed on the campus property, eventually these old buildings need to have major repairs.

And I think it can sometimes come to a point where we have great-grandfather's axe and the head has been replaced twice and the handle has been replaced three times but it's still great-grandfather's axe.

NEAL R. GROSS

With the campus buildings getting older, the financial burden on the campus is going to increase because of these buildings needing constant care. I know from my experience in my house that was built in 1886, I have to call a roofer for the third time to take care of a roofing leak.

These old buildings need maintenance. And some of them in the middle of the campus I feel are not worth keeping because the university can make better use of those properties by tearing them down and replacing them with taller buildings that can better suit the university's needs.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: You know, I guess
-- and I don't want to take a lot of time. It's late.
But, you know, I did hear from some of the residents
concern, those who are opponents, concern that what is
going to stop the university from coming back in
another ten years when there is some other issue that
the university needs to address in terms of growth and
progressing. I mean, you make it seem like this is
it, that there is potentially no time in the future
ten years from now that GW might come back.

I guess my concern is they're concerned that this historic district might be the very device that might make them come back, you know, in terms of

NEAL R. GROSS

development beyond its boundaries.

MR. TIMLIN: Certainly it could because the university has to evolve and change as the community's needs change, as the campus community's needs change. And certainly they could come back and say, "We don't want these old buildings anymore. We don't want the responsibility for maintaining these old buildings. We can make better use of the land by replacing the old buildings at certain locations." So I could certainly see that that could be forthcoming.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Well, you know, these things are very fluid. You know, I think that's what I've heard from some of the community who are in opposition, that, you know, we just went through this a few years ago, now we're back, and there may be an amendment.

I just wanted to sort of make certain that, you know, you're sort of covering that. And I thought that was a very interesting statement in terms of unnecessary burden because to me, it could potentially open up a door down the road. And I just want you to comment on that.

MR. TIMLIN: I am surprised that the university was agreeable to that because since they have destroyed many buildings in Foggy Bottom, a lot

NEAL R. GROSS

	of the historic homes in roggy bottom, to build their
2	campus, I can't see why they should object to knocking
3	down what's left.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thanks to the
5	panel.
6	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
7	excused.)
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We're going to take
9	one more panel this evening. I had promised the
10	Commission I was going to try and wrap this up
11	earlier, but they know not to believe me anymore.
12	Okay. Alexander Kachenko; Chris Hart; Lou
13	Benton- Short, faculty member; Ed Abramic. Whereupon,
14	EDWARD ABRAMIC, ALEXANDER KACHENKO,
15	LISA BENTON-SHORT, and CHRIS HART were called as
16	persons in support and, having been previously duly
17	sworn, were examined and testified as follows:
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. We'll start on
19	my left with the gentleman in the purple shirt and
20	work our way down the table.
21	MR. ABRAMIC: You have my brief. I am a
22	single issue person. The single issue is
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Would you state your
24	name for the record? Would you state your name for
25	the record, please?

1 MR. ABRAMIC: Oh, Ed Abramic, Edward 2 Abramic. 3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. 4 MR. ABRAMIC: I live at St. Mary's Court. 5 Before I go into the brief, I would just like to 6 preface this by saying I am a single issue person. 7 This is urban planning/parking, single issue. With respect to parking, the square 54 8 9 project calls for 1,000 cars of parking, 1,000 cars. This is obscene. We are social animals, you know. 10 were not leopards or tigers. We should mingle, not be 11 12 enclosed by steel and glass honking our way to a parking spot. We're more like foxes and wolves. 13 Also, there's the national obesity 14 15 problem. Get out and walk. Don't get in that dad-qum 16 car and look for a parking spot. Get out and walk. 17 Obesity. And no underground or over-ground parking, none of that stuff. 18 19 Nonetheless, I'm a pragmatist. I'm not saying we should tear down all parking. We should, 20 21 instead, make parking and driving commensurate with My God, it's too cheap driving a 22 the social costs. It should come as a more dear luxury to you. 23 I have a car. I'm a pragmatist myself. I 24 25 should say I got rid of my car three years ago. But

1	it should be an expensive implement because driving it
2	has huge social costs, police salaries, snow removal,
3	et cetera, et cetera.
4	This is pragmatism. But let me go into my
5	brief. I'll make it real short. I live in Foggy
6	Bottom. I support the GW plan for growth going up,
7	instead of sprawling out. When we sprawl, we need
8	parking.
9	And, for heaven's sakes, much of our
10	students, who make noises in the streets, they love to
11	hear their voices echo across the buildings. By God,
12	they get a big kick out of it at 2:00 o'clock in the
13	morning.
14	They're more social context, elbow to
15	elbow people. If you have ever been to the Lincoln
16	Center, New York, elbow to elbow people. We're
17	civilized animals.
18	Oh, is that my time up?
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's it.
20	MR. ABRAMIC: Oh, sorry. We're civilized,
21	once again.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
23	Go ahead.
24	MR. KACHENKO: My name is Alexander

University. One aspect, in particular, of the proposed campus plan which I support is the building of new science facilities on the George Washington University's Foggy Bottom campus because it would create diversity in the student population.

Currently the vast majority of students I am in contact with is studying politics, either domestic or foreign. While I love politics, I feel that the lack of a substantial science community in this school hinders the student's ability to pursue science.

If I ever decide not to pursue politics, my next field of study would be physics. And I would leave George Washington University. As it currently stands, there are many better and less expensive options for a science education.

Similarly, students who are looking solely for a science major are not likely to choose this school because it does not have the proper facilities for that study.

The location that makes this school such a great political science school would also make a great school to study sciences. The federal government funds science research projects all across the country.

NEAL R. GROSS

	191
1	Most companies that receive these funds
2	have branches in D.C. This means that the research
3	going on across the country all connects back to this
4	one city exposing students here, the companies that
5	research in every corner of the U.S.
6	If this university were known for a strong
7	science department, the opportunities for internships
8	and jobs would be limitless. By diversifying the
9	possibilities of study, the university will also
10	increase the caliber of students attending.
11	If the school attracts both political and
12	science majors, more students will apply and the
13	university will be able to select the best out of a
14	larger, broader group of students. More students
15	would be competing for a limited number of spaces,
16	allowing the university to be more selective.
17	Furthermore, having state-of-the-art
18	research facilities on campus would create very good
19	jobs right here. Graduates would be able to pursue
20	their careers in this city. The jobs created add to
21	the tax base. And people who live in D.C. are going to
22	spend money in D.C. aiding the local economy.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.

I can tell I messed up your name. So I apologize. I couldn't read it.

NEAL R. GROSS

23

24

MS. BENTON-SHORT: That's okay. Good evening. My name is Lisa Benton-Short. And I am Associate Professor of Geography. I am also the Chair of the Faculty Senate University and Urban Affairs Committee.

The University and Urban Affairs Committee helps to foster continued good relationships between GW and the greater Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. For example, many of the committee members attend the Friends meetings, Friends social events, like the block party. And we have also organized an off-campus faculty speaker series that's run at St. Mary's Court.

So comments today represent the mу the faculty sentiments of many of on the UAUA committee and focus mostly on how the plan enhances faculty research and teaching at GW, particularly the academic space needs within the existing Foggy Bottom campus boundaries.

faculty member, Ι teach As а courses each semester and can attest to the fact there is simply not enough classroom space on campus. addition, much of it needs to be upgraded, particularly as technologies advance and everybody wants to use PowerPoint, videos, slide shows. And for us geographers, we like to use ArtView mapping and all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sorts of interesting spatial relationships and graphs.

Most of our technology classrooms simply are inadequate to meet these new demands. So both faculty and students suffer when the capabilities of our classrooms are limited.

The approval of the campus plan will allow GW to generate the funds it needs in order to not only retrofit old classrooms but, more importantly, to build state-of-the-art classrooms and labs. This not only benefits faculty and students, but it also benefits the community, who are welcome to audit our courses.

As a faculty researcher, I can also attest to the inadequate research space and labs currently on campus. Many faculty members, including myself, were delighted to learn that one of the long-term range plans for the GW campus is the building of a new science center.

A new facility will allow both faculty and students the opportunity to engage in cutting-edge research on a range of critical national and global issues. It's imperative that research scholars have the facilities that provide cutting-edge technology research spaces. And most of the faculty I've spoken with understand the development of square 54 will

NEAL R. GROSS

1	provide that non-enrollment revenue that will allow
2	the universities to build the new science center.
3	So I really support and endorse the GW
4	campus plan. I feel it reflects GW's broader strategic
5	planning initiatives that will create a world-class
6	university within our nation's capital.
7	Thank you very much.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
9	Mr. Hart?
10	MR. HART: Being the last, I would
11	probably be popular to just yield my time. Especially
12	since I'm 23 and without making comments about the
13	room, it's past my bedtime.
14	Commissioners and fellow concerned
15	residents, my name is Chris Hart. I am a business
16	owner in Foggy Bottom, eight-year resident of the
17	District of Columbia, former student of the George
18	Washington University, and former President of the
19	Student Body.
20	Thank you for the opportunity to speak to
21	you today regarding the Foggy Bottom campus plan. It
22	is my heartfelt belief to support the plan with a few
23	minor reservations.
24	I understand there are many qualms from
25	residents in the community concerning existing

requirements in the current campus plan. Considering the state and developments of our current community, we must work together to move forward and develop a mutually amicable joint agreement for our future.

First, my reasons to lend support. This plan is without question fair grounds to base a compromise on. For years, residents have been concerned with the sprawl of the university. This directly addresses and adheres to those concerns.

The idea to build the university and strengthen it within its existing boundaries, it's past its time. And from there, now, let's work to build something for the future.

is clear we need a basis to work towards a cooperative coexisting community. Currently we do not have that. Over the last three years, the university has reached out and offered ideas, possibilities, and solutions. And I commend their We, the members of the community, must meet the table and come them face to face at agreement.

Some of my initial concerns about it include, number one, if the university plans to house all of its undergraduates on campus, bringing the students within campus bounds from HOVA, as they

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

already have from the Columbia Plaza and from Asten, then I feel it is unacceptable to think that we do not need an increase in on-campus parking. We must continue to look after the residents and the businesses who are still within those campus bounds and will be sharing that space.

Number two, as a city, we must protect the look of that area of our community as it has come to be. We must not allow a free-for-all on the size and height of buildings, preserving historic Foggy Bottom, but let's not overshadow it with an entirely too dense campus.

Number three, I'm offended -- this is a little bit off the topic -- that older students and older undergraduates cannot freely choose where in the city it is that they would like to live, including GW-owned dorms and buildings. This to me is a basic civil right.

Number four, I have noticed the strides the university has made to work with the community. I have not been as involved this past half year, but I believe it is imperative that we, the community, work more closely together and in a more civil manner.

Number five, the university needs to be more fair in its practice of adhering to and sticking

NEAL R. GROSS

1	to its commitments. What we decide today should not
2	be held up in a legal battle for exemption tomorrow.
3	Number six, we need to work to create
4	square 54 in a timely manner with neighborhood input,
5	which I believe the university is working to do.
6	Number seven and most importantly, we must
7	establish a strong neighborhood advisory committee.
8	This last concern, as I said, I believe is most
9	important for it underlines the idea
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You need to wrap it
11	up.
12	MR. HART: of a committee coming
13	together with members of all constituencies, the
14	university, residents, resident students, government
15	leaders, business leaders, to have a simple and
16	effective goal of making a better community.
17	I think this plan is a starting point.
18	And I support it wholly.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
20	Questions from the Commission for the
21	panel? Any questions? Ms. Dwyer?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hitchcock?
24	MR. HITCHCOCK: No questions.
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Kahlow?
l	

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. You testified
3	at the original campus plan.
4	MR. HART: Yes, I did.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And what is
6	your business?
7	MR. HART: A tanning salon on GW's campus.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
9	MR. HART: So I'm one of those who stuck
10	around. And now I'm paying back plenty of taxes.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well, good.
13	Glad to hear it. Thanks for coming down. Thanks to
14	the panel.
15	(Whereupon, the panel of witnesses was
16	excused.)
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well, we're
18	going to close it out for this evening. And we will
19	resume with testimony of those in support. And then
20	after that, at the next session on Thursday, we will
21	then after we finish those in support, we'll take up
22	testimony in opposition.
23	Ms. Dwyer?
24	MS. DWYER: Yes. Just one point of order.
25	I think some of the witnesses who are remaining are

1	not going to be able to come back. So I just wanted
2	you to let them know that they can just file their
3	statements for the record if they can't come back on
4	the next hearing date. That's all.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. If anybody
6	didn't understand that, if you didn't get a chance to
7	testify in person, we would love to take your written
8	statement. And the record will remain open at least
9	through this Thursday for us to accept written
10	statements. So please feel free to submit those to
11	the Office of Zoning.
12	Thank you all again for your attention.
13	And we will see you on Thursday.
14	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter was
15	concluded at 10:37 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	